

IUPAC International Chemical Identifier (InChI)

InChI version 1, software version 1.03 (2010)

Technical Manual

Stephen E. Stein, Stephen R. Heller, Dmitrii V. Tchekhovskoi

Chemical and Biochemical Reference Data Division
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, Maryland, U.S., 20899-8320

Igor V. Pletnev

Department of Chemistry
Lomonosov Moscow State University
119991 Moscow, Russia

Last revision date: June 25, 2010

This document is a part of the release of the IUPAC International Chemical Identifier with InChIKey, version 1, software version 1.03 (<http://www.iupac.org/inchi>).

InChI software v. 1.03 has merged functionality: it allows one to produce both standard and non-standard Identifiers, as well as their hashed representation (InChIKey).

CONTENTS

I. ABSTRACT	1
II. INTRODUCTION.....	1
a. The IUPAC International Chemical Identifier (InChI).....	1
b. Objective of this Document	3
III. DISCUSSION.....	3
a. The Scope of the InChI	3
b. Construction of the InChI.....	4
c. InChI Components.....	5
d. The Five InChI 'Layer' Types	5
1 Main Layer	7
1.1 Chemical Formula	7
1.2 Connections	7
2 Charge Layer	7
2.1 Component Charge	7
2.2 Protons.....	8
3 Stereochemical Layer	8
3.1 Double Bond sp^2 (Z/E) Stereo	8
3.2 Tetrahedral Stereo	8
4 Isotopic Layer	9
5 Fixed-H Layer	9
e. InChI Structure	9
f. Standard and Non-standard Identifiers.....	12
g. Implementation.....	13
IV. DETAILS AND EXAMPLES	13
a. Main Layer (M).....	13
b. Normalization	15
Step 1. Alter the structure drawing	18
Step 2. Disconnect "salts"	20
Step 3. Disconnect metals.....	22
Step 4. Eliminate radicals if possible.....	22
Step 5. Process variable protonation (charges and mobile H).	26
Step 5.1. Remove protons from charged heteroatoms.....	26
Step 5.2. Remove protons from neutral heteroatoms.....	27
Step 5.3. Add protons to reduce negative charge	28
Step 6. Process charges and mobile H	29
Step 6, procedure 1: Simple tautomerism detection.....	30
Step 6, procedure 2. Moveable positive charge detection.....	33
Step 6, procedure 3. Additional normalization.....	34
Normalization Limits	35
c. Isotopic Layer (I).....	36
d. Stereochemical Layer (S).....	38
Double bond stereochemistry.....	40
Tetrahedral stereochemistry.....	43

Examples and limitations of the “not more than two constitutionally identical stereogenic elements” rule	48
Other limitations of sp ³ stereo recognition	49
e. Canonicalization	50
V. HASHED REPRESENTATION (InChIKey)	52
a. Overview	52
b. Format	53
c. Hash calculation and collision resistance	56
Theoretical estimates	57
Experimental testing of collision resistance	58
VI. REFERENCES	62
VII. BIBLIOGRAPHY	63
a. Canonical (Unique) Numbering Algorithms	63
b. Conversion of Unique Names to Fixed Length Name (Hash)	65
c. Representation of Chemical Structures (relevant to naming)	66
d. Fundamental Aspects of Unique Naming Methods	67
e. Hash and checksum calculation	68
Appendix 1. InChI Standard Valences	69
Appendix 2. Abbreviations and Layer Precedence	72
a. Layer Precedence	72
b. Abbreviations	74
Appendix 3. Extracting Layers from InChI	76
Appendix 4. Comparing InChI Representations For Finding Identical Compounds	80
a. Stereochemistry	80
b. Mobile H-Atoms (Tautomers)	81
c. Isotopes	81
d. Charges and Protons	81
e. Comparison of Connectivity Only	81
Appendix 5. Structure Representation Ambiguities	82
a. Mobile Hydrogen	82
b. Stereochemistry	83
c. Organometallic Compounds and Coordination Bonds	84
d. Multiple Components	85

I. ABSTRACT

This document presents a technical description of the IUPAC International Chemical Identifier (InChI) and its hashed representation (InChIKey). It explains the methods used for the creation of the various output ‘layers’ of the InChI and describes the scope of its application.. Rules used to resolve ambiguities in input information are also described. Appendices list valences and abbreviations, discuss the processing of the Identifier and describe some relevant general problems in the representation of chemical identity.

II. INTRODUCTION

a. The IUPAC International Chemical Identifier (InChI)

The objective of the Identifier is to provide a string of characters capable of uniquely representing a chemical compound. This involves finding and implementing a set of rules that transform an input ‘connection table’ into an output sequence of characters. Since InChI is intended to serve as a precise digital signature of a compound, it must have two properties: 1) different compounds (as defined by their ‘connection tables’) must have different identifiers and 2) a single compound must have a single identifier, regardless how its structure is drawn. The first requires the inclusion of all of the chemical features that distinguish one compound from another. The second requires the elimination of input information that reflects only the conventions used for drawing the compound.

Since a given compound may be represented at different levels of detail, in order to create a robust expression of chemical identity it was decided to create a hierarchical ‘layered’ form of the Identifier, where each layer holds a distinct and separable class of structural information, with the layers ordered to provide successive structural refinement. In addition to basic ‘connectivity’ and overall charge, the principal varieties of layers are mobile/fixed H-atoms (expresses tautomerism), isotopic composition and stereochemistry.

The Identifier is created from the input structure in three steps:

- 1) normalization (removing information not needed for layer construction and separating information into layers);

- 2) canonicalization (generating a set of atom labels that do not depend on how the structure was initially drawn);
- 3) serialization (converting the set of labels derived from canonicalization into a string of characters, the InChI).

The chemical ideas employed for creating the InChI appear in the normalization step, where conventions are removed while maintaining a complete description of the compound.

This 'layered' model allows chemists to represent chemical substances at a level of detail of their choosing. Except for the main layer (atoms and their bonds), the presence of a layer is not required and appears only when corresponding input information has been provided. Moreover, because of inherent difficulties in fully describing certain structural details of some chemical substances, the main layer of the InChI is expected to provide a stable and reliable means for identifying complex chemical substances. Adequate perception of mobile H-atoms required rather complex rules. They were needed to deal with combined effects of the different conventions employed for drawing chemical structures and the fact that the details of the isomerizations caused by migration of these H-atoms can depend on structural details and chemical environment. Rules were based on earlier published work with extensions based on experience with test sets, also using input from interested parties. Other rules were developed largely to perceive and, when possible correct inadequacies in input stereochemical information.

Since 2007 (software release v. 1.02-beta), InChI is complemented by its counterpart – a hashed identifier, InChIKey. This character signature should be helpful in search applications, including Web searching and chemical structure database indexing; also, this hash may serve as a checksum for verifying InChI, for example, after transmission over a network.

The Identifier's layered structure, allows the InChI software to generate different InChI strings for the same molecule, depending on the choice of a multitude of options (e.g., distinguishing or not distinguishing tautomers). This flexibility, however, may be considered a drawback with respect to standardization/interoperability. To overcome it, since 2009 (software release v. 1.02-standard), the 'standard' InChI (and the standard InChIKey) which is always produced with fixed options, has been made available

The current version of the Identifier is 1; the current version of the InChI software is 1.03 (June 2010). Previously released versions 1.01 (2006), 1.02-beta (2007), and 1.02-standard (2009), as well as all earlier versions, are now considered obsolete.

b. Objective of this Document

The principal objective of this document is to describe the technical issues (especially those involved in structure ‘normalization’ steps) in the current version of the InChI. This includes both the scope of chemical substances covered by the InChI as well as the means of dealing with a variety of common problems involved in the representation of chemical compounds. Mathematical details of the algorithms used will not be presented. They have been derived from methods reported in the literature (listed in the Bibliography section at the end of this document). They will be made available in the form of tested and documented source code along with the final version of the InChI.

III. DISCUSSION

a. The Scope of the InChI

It was agreed at IUPAC meetings prior to the start of this project that the first version of the InChI should cover well-defined, covalently-bonded organic molecules. It was also agreed to include substances with mobile hydrogen atoms (tautomers, for instance). In the course of this project, it was found that with a straightforward extension organometallic compounds could be represented. Methods were found to also include variable protonation. Not included are polymers, molecular class representations (Markush structures), electronic states and conformations. Also, the present version only considers traditional organic stereochemistry (double bond - sp^2 and tetrahedral - sp^3) and the most common forms of H-migration (tautomerism). However, the layered structure of the InChI allows future refinements with little or no change to the layers described here.

By design, the InChI represents only a single type of connectivity (it ignores bond orders except for analyzing stereochemistry and H-migration) and does not explicitly represent positions of electrons. While this is not the conventional method for representing compounds, it provides an effective means of representing their identity.

In summary, the InChI is a series of characters derived by applying a set of rules to a chemical structure to provide a unique digital ‘signature’ for a compound. It has been developed under IUPAC auspices to serve as a uniform, openly available digital ‘name’ for a compound. It is expected that its principal use will be as a ‘plug-in’ for other chemical structure-based software systems.

b. Construction of the InChI

The InChI string is composed of one or more ‘layers’ that are successively built from information extracted from an input ‘connection table’. Each layer is expressed as a string of characters. Layers are appended to one another in a strictly defined order: each layer except for the first layer has one and only one preceding (parent) layer. If the data necessary to create a layer is not available, that layer is omitted from the InChI representation unless it is a “repeating” layer and its preceding layer is not empty. In this case the empty layer is output. If a “repeating” layer is identical to its preceding layer, the layer is omitted. These two “repeating layer” rules in many cases allow one to output the stereochemical or the isotopic layer only once. Values computed for each layer depend on prior layers. As a consequence, for example, two stereochemical layers for different compounds cannot be directly compared – comparisons must involve the complete set of preceding layers. On the other hand, layers do not depend on successive layers. Therefore, if two InChI strings are identical up to a layer, then the structural characteristics of the two represented structures are also identical up to that point. For brevity, if a requisite layer is identical to an earlier one in the same Identifier, abbreviations are used. For example, isotopic sublayers that are exactly equal to their non-isotopic preceding counterparts are omitted when no ambiguity is created. Abbreviations and layer precedence are given in Appendix 2.

c. InChI Components

For structures that are composed of multiple interconnected (covalently bonded) components, a single InChI is generated, but each of the components retains its identity. Each layer contains information pertinent to all of the components (these are represented as conventional ‘dot-disconnected’ units in the formula layer, or with semicolons in other layers). In general, a valid InChI of an individual component of a more complex compound may be obtained by simply excising it from the InChI string. Details are given in Appendix 3.

d. The Five InChI ‘Layer’ Types

Depending on the information contained in the input structure, the InChI may be composed of up to five distinct varieties of ‘layers’, each representing a different class of structural information. These layers are discussed below and illustrated in Figure 1. Specific examples and more details are presented later.




Figure 1. InChI Layer Flowchart

The first two layers (chemical formula and connections) are derived solely from the simple connectivity information in the input structure. They entirely ignore pi-electrons and charge as well as stereochemical, tautomeric and isotopic information.

1 *Main Layer*

1.1 *Chemical Formula*

For a compounds composed of a single component, this is the conventional Hill-sorted elemental formula. For compounds containing multiple components, the Hill-sorted formulas of the individual components are sorted according to the guidelines in Figure 1 and separated by dots.

1.2 *Connections*

This lists the bonds between the atoms in the structure, partitioned into as many as three sublayers. The first represents all bonds other than those to non-bridging H-atoms, the second represents bonds of all immobile H-atoms, and the third provides locations of any mobile H-atoms. The last sublayer represents H-atoms that can be found at more than one location in a compound due to well-known varieties of isomerization. It identifies the groups of atoms that share one or more mobile hydrogen atoms. In addition to hydrogen atoms, mobile H groups may contain mobile negative charges. These charges are included in the charge layer.

2 *Charge Layer*

This represents net charge (surplus of protons over electrons) and does not depend on the contents of other layers. It may appear in as many as two sublayers:

2.1 *Component Charge*

The net charges of the components are represented in this layer as independent tags. By design, the InChI does not distinguish between structures that differ only in the formal positions of their electrons.

2.2 Protons

The number of protons removed from or added to the substance so that a given component may be represented without regard to its degree of protonation.

3 Stereochemical Layer

This is composed of two sublayers: the first accounts for double bond, sp^2 stereochemistry and the second for tetrahedral stereochemistry and allenes. Note that the first sublayer is independent of the second, but not vice-versa.

3.1 Double Bond sp^2 (Z/E) Stereo

Expression of this stereo configuration is easily done in 2-dimensional drawings. When double bonds are rigid, stereoisomerism is readily represented without ambiguity. However, in alternating bond systems, some non-rigid bonds may be formally drawn as double. Bonds in these systems, when discovered by InChI algorithms, are not assigned stereo labels. Also, to avoid needless stereodescriptors in aromatic and other small rings, no sp^2 stereoisomerism information is generated in rings containing 7 or fewer members.

3.2 Tetrahedral Stereo

Tetrahedral (typically, sp^3) stereochemistry is readily represented using conventional wedge/hatch (out/in) bonds commonly employed in 2D drawings. Relative tetrahedral stereochemistry is represented first, optionally followed by a tag to indicate absolute stereochemistry. When a stereocenter configuration is not known to the structure author, an 'unknown' descriptor may be specified, which will then appear in the stereo layer. If a possible stereocenter is found, but no stereo information is provided, it will be represented in a stereolayer by a not-given ('undefined') flag.

In current InChI software v. 1.03 (2010) a question mark ('?') is used, by default, for both 'undefined' and 'unknown' flags. However, in a non-standard InChI generated with option 'SLUUD' turned On, the symbol 'u' is used to indicate explicitly entered 'unknown' stereo (while '?' is retained for 'undefined').

4 *Isotopic Layer*

This is a layer in which different isotopically labeled atoms are identified. Exchangeable isotopic hydrogen atoms (deuterium and tritium) are listed separately. The layer also holds any changes in stereochemistry caused by the presence of isotopes.

5 *Fixed-H Layer*

When potentially mobile H atoms are detected and the user specifies that they should be immobile (tautomerism not allowed), this layer binds these H atoms to the atoms specified in the input structure. When this, in effect, causes a change in earlier layers, appropriate changes are added to this layer (earlier layers 1-4 are not affected).

e. InChI Structure

Figure 2 below describes the ordering of all possible layers in an Identifier. Individual layers are preceded by /? where ? is a lowercase letter that distinguishes that layer. In the Identifier itself, actual layer contents replace the annotations shown below in curly braces. Titles in Italics are shown only for clarity.

```

{InChI version}
1. Main Layer (M):
/{formula}
/c{connections}
/h{H_atoms}
2. Charge Layer
/q{charge}
/p{protons}
3. Stereo Layer
/b{stereo:dbond}
/t{stereo:sp3}
/m{stereo:sp3:inverted}
/s{stereo:type (1=abs, 2=rel, 3=rac)}
4. Isotopic Layer (MI):
/i{isotopic:atoms}*
/h{isotopic:exchangeable_H}
/b{isotopic:stereo:dbond}
/t{isotopic:stereo:sp3}
/m{isotopic:stereo:sp3:inverted}
/s{isotopic:stereo:type (1=abs, 2=rel, 3=rac)}
5. Fixed H Layer (F):
/f{fixed_H:formula}*
/h{fixed_H:H_fixed}
/q{fixed_H:charge}
/b{fixed_H:stereo:dbond}
/t{fixed_H:stereo:sp3}
/m{fixed_H:stereo:sp3:inverted}
/s{fixed_H:stereo:type (1=abs, 2=rel, 3=rac)}
(6.) Fixed/Isotopic Combination (FI)
/i{fixed_H:isotopic:atoms}*
/b{fixed_H:isotopic:stereo:dbond}
/t{fixed_H:isotopic:stereo:sp3}
/m{fixed_H:isotopic:stereo:sp3:inverted}
/s{fixed_H:isotopic:stereo:type (1=abs, 2=rel, 3=rac)}
/o{transposition}

```

Figure 2. Layers of the identifier

Construction of the Identifier involves, in effect, the in-memory labeling of the atoms in the input connection table, with equivalent atoms assigned identical labels by the canonicalization procedure, along with rules for outputting (serializing) that information. The isotopic layers (one derived from the main layer and a second derived, when needed, from the fixed H layer) simply provide the labels of the isotopic atoms. Stereo layers contain the parity assignments for specific atoms and bonds, and are separately derived as required for up to four layer sequences, M, MI, F, FI. A 'serialization' routine produces output character strings for each of the layers. Note that if no information is available for a layer, that layer is omitted. For

example, when there is no isotopic labeling, the layer MI and FI part of Fixed H layer are not present.

The Identifier may be accompanied by an Auxiliary Information line that has the following structure:

```
{version}
/{normalization_type}
Main part
/N:{original_atom_numbers}
/E:{atom_equivalence}
/gE:{group_equivalence}
/it:{abs_stereo_inverted:sp3}
/iN:{abs_stereo_inverted:original_atom_numbers}
Isotopic part
/I:{isotopic:original_atom_numbers}*
/E:{isotopic:atom_equivalence}
/gE{isotopic:group_equivalence}
/it:{isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3}
/iN:{isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:original_atom_numbers}
Fixed H part
/F:{fixed_H:original_atom_numbers}
/E:{fixed_H:atom_equivalence}
/it:{fixed_H:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3}
/iN:{fixed_H:abs_stereo_inverted:original_atom_numbers}
Fixed H isotopic part
/I:{fixed_H:isotopic:original_atom_numbers}*
/E:{fixed_H:isotopic:atom_equivalence}
/it:{fixed_H:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3}
/iN:{fixed_H:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:original_atom_numbers}
Reversibility part
/CRV:{charge_radical_valence}
/rA:{reversibility:atoms}
/rB:{reversibility:bonds}
/rC:{reversibility:xyz}
```

Figure 2a. Auxiliary Information

It contains mapping of canonical numbers on original atom numbers, information on detected constitutional equivalence of atoms and mobile H groups, stereo of the inverted structure, and reversibility information that is sufficient to recalculate the Identifier and, in case of input from a Molfile, reconstruct the Molfile (except the order of the bonds).

f. Standard and Non-standard Identifiers

The layered structure of InChI allows one to represent molecular structure with a desired level of detail. Accordingly, InChI software may generate different InChI strings for the same molecule, depending on the choice of a multitude of options (e.g., distinguishing or not distinguishing tautomers). This flexibility, however, may be considered a drawback with respect to standardization/interoperability. In 2009, the ‘standard’ InChI which is always produced with fixed options was introduced by IUPAC in response to these concerns.

The standard InChI was defined to ensure interoperability/compatibility between large databases/web searching and information exchange. As related to its internal layered structure, standard InChI is a subset of IUPAC International Chemical Identifier v.1.

The layered structure of the standard InChI conforms to the following requirements.

- Standard InChI organometallic representation does not include bonds to metal for the time being (so the ‘/r’ layer may not appear).
- Standard InChI distinguishes between chemical substances at the level of ‘connectivity’, ‘stereochemistry’, and ‘isotopic composition’, where:
 - connectivity means tautomer-invariant valence-bond connectivity; different tautomers have the same connectivity/hydrogen layer (so the ‘/f’ layer may not appear);
 - stereochemistry means configuration of stereogenic atoms and bonds; only absolute stereo or no stereo at all is allowed; unknown stereo designations are treated as undefined;
 - isotopic composition means mass number of isotopic atoms (when specified)

For InChI v. 1, the standard InChI is designated by the prefix:

InChI=1S/.....

(that is, the letter ‘S’ immediately follows the Identifier version number, ‘1’).

The non-standard InChI is designated by the prefix:

InChI=1/.....

(that is, the letter 'S' is omitted).

Standard InChI was introduced in v. 1.02-standard release of InChI software in 2009 (this software version was capable of generating only standard InChIs). The current release of InChI software, v. 1.03 (2010) has merged functionality. It allows one to produce both standard and non-standard Identifiers, as well as their hashed representations.

The exact sets of InChI software options which lead to generation of standard vs. non-standard Identifiers are listed in the User Guide document which accompanies v. 1.03 (2010) software release.

g. Implementation

Algorithms described here have been implemented in the programs described in an accompanying 'User's Guide' (winchi-1 and inchi-1 programs). An API (application program interface) is also provided as well as full source code (in the 'C' programming language), makefiles and examples. The software, examples and documentation are available for download from IUPAC site (<http://www.iupac.org/inchi>). Structures shown in this document are provided along with these programs.

IV. DETAILS AND EXAMPLES

a. Main Layer (M)

The identity of each atom and its covalently-bonded partners provide all of the information necessary to construct this layer. All information regarding π -bonds, charge, isotopic composition, tautomerism and stereochemistry is ignored. This 'normalization' process avoids many of the complexities commonly encountered in structure representation. For example, nitro groups can be input using any of the common representations and problems associated with representing zwitterions and special valences are avoided as are issues concerning alternating bonds and aromaticity.

This form of representation is unusual for most chemists, since conventional structure representations generally include bonding details that are not needed for chemical identification and often omit some or all hydrogen atoms. In effect, the present representation describes the single-bond network of a molecule and avoids any description of the ‘positions’ of all pi-bonds bonds and electrons. Any excess or deficit of electrons (overall charges) is represented in a separate layer.

Figures 3-6 are examples of input structures, their normalized structures and results of canonicalization for this basic layer. In the canonical numbering structure, the large numbers designate classes of equivalent atoms (an ‘in-memory’ representation), small numbers (canonical identification numbers) are used in the actual InChI generation process (serialization).




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6

‘Munchnones’ serve to illustrate the many different ways that certain structures may be represented, the last being the normalized form used for the InChI




Figure 7

While bond orders are not used in the representation, hydrogen atoms are required. If there is ambiguity concerning the number of H-atoms in a structure (i.e., its chemical formula is not clear), a reliable InChI cannot be created. The InChI generator uses accepted valence rules to detect such ambiguity and issues warnings when detected.

b. Normalization

The structures in the above examples did not need normalization steps except for ignoring bond types and charges. However, the following additional normalization steps are sometimes needed to, in effect, deal with ambiguities in structure representation, especially those involving mobile hydrogen atoms.

InChI applies as many as six varieties of normalization rules to a given structure. These are described briefly here and in detail later. Steps 1-4 are designed to eliminate a variety of structure drawing conventions that could interfere with later processing. Step 5 finds protons necessary to dealing with variable protonation. Step 6, the final normalization step, includes the discovery of conventional tautomeric patterns (depicted in Table 6) and ‘resonances’ that may occur due to bond alternation or positive charge migration along paths of alternating bonds. When certain negatively charged heteroatoms are present or additional work is required for complete ‘hard’ proton addition/removal, step 6 discovers additional patterns of exchanging hydrogen atoms and charges. In some compounds, resolving these ambiguities results in an increased ‘mobility’ of H-atoms relative to conventional tautomeric rules.

The normalization and the stereochemical part heavily rely on testing whether a bond order can be changed due to the presence of an alternating bond circuit or the possibility of a hydrogen atom, charge, or radical center migrating along a path of alternating bonds. This testing is based on a matching algorithm described in detail in [4].

The specific type of normalization performed is provided in the Auxiliary information section of InChI output. This includes: (1) conventional tautomerism, (2) additional exchange of H and negative charges typical for products of heterolytic dissociation, and (3) ‘hard’ removal/addition of protons that is accompanied by a wider exchange of H and negative charges. When a binary representation of the normalization type includes the bit corresponding to 2^n then the type number $(n+1)$ was invoked. For example, normalization type = $6 = 2 + 4 = 2^{3-1} + 2^{2-1}$ means that (3), ‘hard’ proton addition/removal, and (2), additional exchange of H and possibly negative charges, were invoked.

For the fixed H layer, only moving positive charges along paths of alternating bonds is allowed.







The normalization steps are:

1. Alter the structure drawing
2. Disconnect “salts”
3. Disconnect metals
4. Eliminate radicals if possible

5. Process variable protonation
6. Process charges and mobile H

Note. Many examples of chemical structures below are hypothetical; they were selected to illustrate the concepts on small structures.

Step 1. Alter the structure drawing

Table 1. Altering the structure drawing			
type	Input fragment	Fixed fragment	note
1	$X-H^+$	$\rightarrow X^+-H$	X is any atom except H
2	$X-H^-$	$\rightarrow X^- -H$	X is any atom except H
3	$X^- - Y = X^+$	$\rightarrow X = Y - X$	X=N, P, As, Sb, O, S, Se, Te
Example of 3		\rightarrow 	X = O, Y = C
4	$X^- - Y^{2+} - X^-$	$\rightarrow X = Y = X$	X=O, S, Se, Te Y=S, Se, Te
5	$X^- - P^+ - X^-$	$\rightarrow X = P - X^-$	X=O, S, Se, Te
6	$RnHal = O$	$\rightarrow RnHal - O^-$	Hal = F, Cl, Br, I, At
Example of 6		\rightarrow 	X = S, Y = O X = Se, Y = S, O X = Te, Y = O, S, Se
7	$RnX^- = Y$	$\rightarrow RnX - Y^-$	(applicable if valence of X exceeds 6, in originally drawn form)
Example of 7		\rightarrow 	




Table 2. Replacing Ion Pairs with increased order of bonds		
2-1. Terminal Fragments (Roman numerals in parentheses are formal valences)		
	Input and fixed fragments	Comments
1	$ \begin{array}{c} \\ \text{O}=\text{N}^+-\text{O}^- \\ \rightarrow \\ \text{O}=\text{N}=\text{O} \end{array} $	N=N,P,As,Sb; O=O,S,Se,Te
2	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{O}=\text{N}-\text{C}^{(II)} \\ \text{O}=\text{N}-\text{C}^{(II)} \\ \rightarrow \\ \text{O}=\text{N}\equiv\text{C}- \end{array} $	N=N,P,As; O=O,S,Se,Te
3	$ \text{O}=\text{O}^+-\text{C}^{-(III)} \rightarrow \text{O}=\text{O}=\text{C}^{(IV)} $	Same as above
4	$ \text{O}^--\text{N}^{(IV)} \rightarrow \text{O}=\text{N}^{(V)} $	Same as above; N ⁺ has not more than 3 bonds
5	$ \text{O}^--\text{O}^{(III)} \rightarrow \text{O}=\text{O}^{(IV)} $	Same as above
6	$ \text{O}^--\text{O}-\text{C}^{(III)} \rightarrow \text{O}=\text{O}=\text{C}^{(IV)} $	Same as above
7	$ \text{N}^--\text{N}^{(IV)} \rightarrow \text{N}\equiv\text{N}^{(V)} $	Same as above; in addition, N ⁻ may be also Sb ⁻
8	$ \text{N}^--\text{O}^{(III)} \rightarrow \text{N}\equiv\text{O}^{(IV)} $	N=N,P,As,Sb; O=O,S,Se,Te
9	$ \text{N}^--\text{C}^{(III)} \rightarrow \text{N}\equiv\text{C}^{(IV)} $	Same as above
10	$ \begin{array}{c} \\ \text{---P}^+-\text{O}^- \\ \rightarrow \\ \text{---P}=\text{O} \end{array} $	P=P,As,Sb; O=O,S,Se,Te
2-2. Non-terminal fragments - 2 atoms		
1	$ \text{N}^{(IV)}-\text{C}^{-(III)} \rightarrow \text{N}^{(V)}=\text{C}^{(IV)} $	Same as above
2	$ \text{N}^{(IV)}=\text{C}^{-(III)} \rightarrow \text{N}^{(V)}\equiv\text{C}^{(IV)} $	Same as above

3	$\text{N}^{+(IV)}\text{N}^{-(II)} \longrightarrow \text{N}^{(V)}\text{N}^{(III)}$	Same as above
4	$\text{O}^{+(III)}\text{C}^{-(III)} \longrightarrow \text{O}^{(IV)}\text{C}^{(IV)}$	Same as above
5	$\text{O}^{+(III)}\text{C}^{-(III)} \longrightarrow \text{O}^{(IV)}\text{C}^{(IV)}$	Same as above
6	$\text{O}^{+(III)}\text{N}^{-(II)} \longrightarrow \text{O}^{(IV)}\text{N}^{(III)}$	N=N,P,As; O=O,S,Se,Te
2-3. Non-terminal fragments - 3 atoms		
1	$\text{N}^{-(II)}\text{O}-\text{C}^{+(III)} \longrightarrow \text{N}^{(III)}\text{O}=\text{C}^{(IV)}$	Same as above
2	$\text{C}^{-(III)}\text{N}-\text{C}^{+(III)} \longrightarrow \text{C}^{(IV)}\text{N}=\text{C}^{(IV)}$	N=N,P,As,Sb; O=O,S,Se,Te
3	$\text{C}^{-(III)}\text{N}=\text{C}^{+(III)} \longrightarrow \text{C}^{(IV)}\text{N}\equiv\text{C}^{(IV)}$ $\text{C}^{(IV)}\text{N}-\text{C}^{(II)}$ $\text{C}^{(IV)}\text{N}-\text{C}^{(II)}$	Same as above

Step 2. Disconnect “salts”

Some salts are commonly represented in either connected or disconnected forms. The approach used by InChI is to always disconnect salts. The base definition for recognition of connected salts is:



where M is a metal atom and HX, HY are “acids”.

In connected “salts”, metals are connected by single bonds only and do not have H-atoms connected to them. Metal valences should be the lowest known to InChI valence or, for some metals, the valence may also be the 2nd lowest valence. Positively charged metals should have the lowest valence known to InChI (See Appendix 1).

Metals are all elements except these:

Table 3. Non-metals					
IIIA	IVA	VA	VIA	VIIA	VIIIA
13	14	15	16	17	18
				H	He
B	C	N	O	F	Ne
	Si	P	S	Cl	Ar
	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
			Te	I	Xe
				At	Rn

“Acid” is one of the following three:

HX (X=F, Cl, Br, I)	$\text{HO}-\text{C} \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \text{R}' \\ \searrow \text{R}'' \end{array}$	$\text{HO}-\text{C}\equiv\text{R}$
Figure 8. Acid definition		

Upon disconnection atom X or O of the acid receives a single negative charge; the charge of the metal is incremented.

Substances drawn as $\text{H}_4\text{N}-\text{X}$ are disconnected to NH_3 and HX .

Several examples are shown in the Table 4:

Table 4. Examples of salt disconnection		
	connected	disconnected
1	$\text{NH}_4-\text{O}-\text{C}$ →	$\text{NH}_3 + \text{HO}-\text{C}$
2	NH_4-X →	$\text{NH}_3 + \text{HX}$ (X=F, Cl, Br, I)
	Below M is a metal	“acid” anion
3	$\text{M}-\text{O}-\text{C} \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array}$ →	$\text{M}^+ + \text{O}^--\text{C} \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array}$
4	$\text{M}-\text{O}-\text{C}\equiv$ →	$\text{M}^+ + \text{O}^--\text{C}\equiv$
5	$\text{M}-\text{X}$ →	$\text{M}^+ + \text{X}^-$ (X=F, Cl, Br, I)

Note that inorganic acids do not fit the salt definition. For example, sodium nitrate is treated as a coordination compound, so may be reconnected on user request.

Step 3. Disconnect metals


In an effort to deal with the various different conventions used for drawing organometallic compounds, all metal atoms are disconnected in the main layer. In the process, the charges for disconnected F, Cl, Br, I, At, O, S, Se, Te, N, P, As, B are adjusted if possible by transferring charge to the metal atom.






The user may request to add a “reconnected” layer that generates an InChI that contains all bonds given in the input structures. A disconnected “salt” (step 2 above) cannot be reconnected this way.





At this point rules from Table 2 are applied (second time) to the disconnected structure.


Step 4. Eliminate radicals if possible

This is the first step out of several that may change bonds in the structure in a systematic order along alternating bond paths. Before attempting this change the algorithm detects bonds highlighted with red in the table below and marks them as fixed. The order of these bonds will not be allowed to change.


Table 5. Rules for fixing bonds (bonds to be fixed are in bold red)		
1		$X \neq O$
2		

3	$\begin{array}{l} X \equiv N \equiv N - Y \\ X \equiv N \equiv Y \end{array}$	<p>X, Y – any</p> <p>N = N, P, As, Sb</p>
4		<p>X, Y ≠ O;</p> <p>O = O, S, Se, Te;</p> <p>N = N, P, As, Sb</p>
5		<p>X, Y ≠ O or N;</p> <p>O = O, S, Se, Te;</p> <p>N = N, P, As; central N (valence=5) may also be Sb</p>
6		<p>N = N, P, As; central N (valence=5) may also be Sb</p>
7		<p>S = S, Se, Te;</p> <p>O = O, S, Se, Te;</p> <p>X ≠ terminal O</p>
8		<p>N = N, P, As,</p> <p>the two N are same elements and do not belong to the same ring system;</p> <p>X ≠ N, P, As, Sb that has H or charge = -1</p>


9		<p>S = S, Se, Te; X, Y ≠ O, S, Se, Te</p> <p>if atoms Z and X are same and the two atoms belong to the same ring system then do not fix the bond.</p>
10		<p>S = S, Se, Te;</p> <p>O = O, S, Se, Te;</p> <p>X is not a terminal atom</p>
11		<p>S = S, Se, Te;</p> <p>O = O, S, Se, Te;</p> <p>X, Y are not terminal atoms</p> <p>If atom Y is a terminal atom and X is not a terminal atom then only S-X bond is fixed.</p>
12		<p>S = S, Se, Te;</p> <p>O = O, S, Se, Te;</p> <p>X is not a terminal atom</p>


13		<p>S = S, Se, Te;</p> <p>O = O, S, Se, Te;</p> <p>X, Y are not terminal atoms</p> <p>If atom Y is a terminal atom and X is not a terminal atom then only S-X bond is fixed.</p>
----	---	---

Elimination of radicals can be illustrated as follows:



The conversion of aromatic bonds to alternating single and double bonds is done through radical cancellation, for example:





Note-1. No message is issued if radical cancellation does not remove all radicals created during the aromatic bond conversion to single and double bonds.

If later tautomerism or resonance discovery leads to more radical cancellation then the "Cannot process free radical center" error is issued.

Note-2. Technically, the procedure of aromatic bonds conversion is associated with reading and interpreting MOL/SD file. Accordingly, it is implemented in executables supplied with InChI software (inchi-1, winchi-1) but not in InChI library call example (inchi_main) which contains simplified MOL/SD file reader.


Step 5. Process variable protonation (charges and mobile H).

This step is needed to represent substances that have variable or unknown degrees of protonation. The necessary condition for this step is existence of charges +1 or -1 located on non-metal atoms that have standard valences (See Appendix 1). The total charge on these atoms is also counted and used later. Charges on atoms that are adjacent to other charged atoms are not counted. Non-ring bonds altered during variable protonation processing are marked as non-stereogenic. The so-called aggressive ('hard') proton removal or addition procedure is described below.


Step 5.1. Remove protons from charged heteroatoms

This step finds and disconnects protonated atoms and places them in a separate proton (charge) layer. If the structure contains atom $Y'H_m^+$ ($m \geq 1$, Y' is N, P, O, S, Se, or Te) then it is replaced with $Y'H_{m-1}$. This is a "simple removal" of a proton.

Since some protonated atoms are, in effect, concealed by alternating bond conventions, a separate effort is made to find and disconnect these protons. This “hard removal” involves changing bonds and removing H from formally uncharged atoms. It may be illustrated as follows. If there exist atoms =N⁺ or ≡N⁺ and -NH_m (m ≥ 1, at least one neighbor of N must be Y or Sb) or =Y-OH (Y= C, N, P, As, S, Se, Te, Cl, Br; O=O, S, Se, Te) then an attempt is made to find a fragment containing an alternating path (a, b,... are other atoms) and remove a proton:



More aggressive transformations are also possible, for example



During this process:

- (a) positive charges may be moved between N⁺, N⁻ and N (except N in -N=Q);
- (b) negative charges may be moved between N⁺, N⁻, N, and Q, S in
 -Y=Q, =Y=Q, =Y-QX, ≡Y-QX, -C-SX, -O'-QX, ≡N⁺-OH, =N⁺=Q, -N⁻-OH, where Q
 is O, S, Se, or Te; S is S, Se, or Te; X is H or -; Y≠C≠N may carry ±1 charge; N in
 -N=Q is excluded.
- (c) atoms H may be moved between atoms described in (b)

The neutralization of positive and negative charges may occur. A simple exchange of atom H and a negative charge between two atoms without changing bonds is not allowed.

Examples of such normalization are given in sample structures provided with the test program and on Fig. 10 and 11(1a-c, 2a-b).

Step 5.2. Remove protons from neutral heteroatoms

If the total charge referred in Step 5 is positive and the structure has fragments =C-OH, -O-OH, C-SH, or =N-OH, then hydrogen atoms are removed from the fragments and replaced with negative charges until either no more hydrogens are available or the charge has been reduced to zero. This is a “simple removal” of a proton. It is illustrated on Fig. 11(3a-c).

If the total charge is still positive then a “hard proton removal” procedure similar to the previously described one is executed.

During this process:

- (d) positive charges may be moved between atoms described in 5.1 (a);
- (e) negative charges may be moved between atoms described in 5.1 (b)
- (f) atoms to receive H if the procedure succeeds: \underline{O} in $-C=\underline{O}$, $=C=\underline{O}$, $=N^+=\underline{O}$, and $-N=\underline{O}$
- (g) atoms H may be moved between atoms described in 5.1 (b) except atoms described in (f) above

If the procedure succeeds it moves H from atoms described in 5.2(g) to atom O described in 5.2(f). After that the H is removed from that O as a proton, leaving negatively charged O^- thus reducing the positive charge. An example is on Fig. 11(4a-c).

Step 5.3. Add protons to reduce negative charge

If the total charge referred in Step 5 is negative or has become negative due to positive charge removal and the structure has fragments $=C-O^-$, $-O-O^-$, $C-S^-$, or $=N-O^-$, then protons are added to the fragments replacing negative charges with atoms H until the total charge is reduced to minimal or zero. This is a “simple addition” of a proton.

If the total charge is still negative then a “hard proton addition” procedure similar to the previously described one is executed.

During this process:













- (h) positive charges may be moved between atoms described in 5.1 (a);
- (i) atoms to receive negative charge if the procedure succeeds are atoms described in 5.2(f):
- (j) negative charges may be moved between atoms described in 5.1 (b) except atoms described in (i) above
- (k) atoms H may be moved between atoms described in 5.1 (b)


If the procedure succeeds it moves negative charge from atoms described in 5.3(j) to atom O described in 5.3(i).. After that this negative charge is replaced with atom H which is equivalent to a proton addition thus reducing the negative charge. An example is on Fig. 11(5a-c).

Step 6. Process charges and mobile H

For a structure that does not have charged atoms the tautomeric atoms and bonds are detected and marked. Atoms that may exchange hydrogen atoms are considered to belong to a “mobile H group”.

As evident from the foregoing discussion, the existence of a ‘protonated’ site is sometimes not readily apparent in a structural drawing. The normalization algorithm is designed to resolve complications that arise from ambiguities introduced at step 5 during “hard” or incomplete “simple” removal or addition of protons and in case of charged atoms resembling results of heterolytic dissociation. Below are examples of such ambiguities.

	Input structure	Ambiguous results of proton removal
1	 <p>1a</p>	 <p>1b</p> <p>OR</p>  <p>1c</p>
2	 <p>2a</p>	 <p>2b</p> <p>OR</p>  <p>2c</p>
3	 <p>3a</p>	 <p>3b</p> <p>OR</p>  <p>3c</p>
4	 <p>4a</p>	 <p>4b</p> <p>OR</p>  <p>4c</p>



Rows 1, 2, 4, and 5 illustrate “hard” proton removal ambiguities, row 3 illustrates incomplete “simple” removal of protons; structures 3b and 3c also illustrate ambiguous representation in the case of charged atoms resembling results of heterolytic dissociation.

The information about the type of normalization invoked is in the first item in the InChI Auxiliary information. It is a number such that in its binary representation each bit manifests a specific invoked type of normalization. The bit corresponding to 2^{3-1} means hard proton removal, bit 2^{2-1} means treatment of negative charge position ambiguity similar to 3b and 3c on Fig. 11. The bit corresponding to 2^{1-1} means “simple” tautomerism.

Step 6, procedure 1: Simple tautomerism detection

The Main layer must be the same for any arrangement of mobile hydrogen atoms. This is achieved by the logical removal of mobile H-atoms and the tagging of H-donor and H-receptor atoms. To identify these H-atoms we have adopted the straightforward varieties of H-transfer tautomerism listed in Table 6 (see also reference 1) and illustrated in Figures 12 and 13 using Guanine as an example.

Table 6	
$M=Q-ZH \leftrightarrow MH-Q=Z,$ or $M=Q-Z^- \leftrightarrow M^-Q=Z$	M, Z = N ^{III} , O ^{II} , S ^{II} , Se ^{II} , Te ^{II} (Roman superscripts designate chemical valence)
	Q = C, N, S, P, Sb, As, Se, Te, Br, Cl, I
	H = hydrogen, deuterium, or tritium
The "=" bond may be a double bond, a bond in the alternating single/double bond ring, or a "tautomeric" bond (shown in blue) Below H atom can be replaced with a negative charge	

Guanine example.




Figure 12. Tautomeric structures of Guanine (not all possible are shown)




Figure 13. Guanine normalization and canonical numbering

InChI for Guanine (optional fixed H layer included) is

```
InChI=1/C5H5N5O/c6-5-9-3-2(4(11)10-5)7-1-8-3/h1H,(H4,6,7,8,9,10,11)/f/h8,10H,6H2
```

The same InChI of Guanine with added annotations {in curly braces} is

```
InChI=
{version}1
/{formula}C5H5N5O
/c{connections}6-5-9-3-2(4(11)10-5)7-1-8-3
/h{H_atoms}1H,(H4,6,7,8,9,10,11)

/f{fixed_H:formula}
/h{fixed_H:H_fixed}8,10H,6H2
```

Explanation of Guanine Identifier:

/h{H_atoms}1H,(H4,6,7,8,9,10,11)

atom number 1 has one H, 4 atoms H are shared by atoms 6,7,8,9,10, and 11

/h{fixed_H:H_fixed}8,10H,6H2

atom 6 has 2H, atom 8 has 1H, atom 10 has 1H.

/f{fixed_H:formula}

is empty because the chemical formula for fixed H layer is same as in the Main layer.


This example illustrates important features of InChI:

- Ignoring the fixed H layer (beginning with /f in the box above) establishes the equivalence of different tautomeric forms of Guanine.
- Including the fixed H layer specifies a single tautomeric form of Guanine.

Step 6, procedure 2. Moveable positive charge detection

Positive charges located on N-atoms are considered moveable along alternating bonds between these atoms. This also applies to phosphorus atoms. Atoms that may exchange positive charges are assigned to a “mobile charge group”. The interference between mobile H and mobile charges may occur.

Hypothetical structures on Fig. 14a-14c serve as an illustration.



Structure 14b was obtained from 14a by formally moving the positive charge from left to right along an alternating bond path. This allows the discovery (Fig 14b) of a tautomeric pattern (highlighted in **blue**). Bonds that may be changed by moving positive charges are highlighted in **green**. Fig. 14c shows another tautomeric form obtained from 14b. Note that Fig. 14c does not allow movement of a positive charge back from right to left. These three structures generate the same standard InChI:

InChI=1S/C6H13N3O/c1-8(2)5-6(10)7-9(3)4/h5H,1-4H3/p+1

but InChI possessing fixedH layer for structure 14c differs from those of 14a,b:

14a,b


InChI=1/C6H13N3O/c1-8(2)5-6(10)7-9(3)4/h5H,1-4H3/p+1/fC6H14N3O/h10H/q+1

14c

InChI=1/C6H13N3O/c1-8(2)5-6(10)7-9(3)4/h5H,1-4H3/p+1/fC6H14N3O/h7H/q+1

For the purpose of detecting stereogenic bonds the algorithm must also provide a means for testing whether a bond order is changeable. InChI assumes that a changeable bond cannot support *Z/E* stereoisomerism. This is accomplished by introducing fictitious bonds and atoms (used only for internal processing) that represent a mobile H group (red H below) and charge group (red plus below). In the mobile H group fictitious double bonds (red) point to the atom-


donors of H or negative charge; in the mobile positive charge group fictitious single bonds point to positively charged atoms.



After the discovery of a new mobile group it is added to the structure. This results in the discovery of changeable bonds. In case of the structure on Fig. 14a adding a charge group allows one to discover changeable bond N-C (shown in blue) and, as a result, discover the mobile H group. These processing steps correct for common ambiguities in input information for conjugated systems where *Z/E* stereochemistry is implied by the drawing, but was not really intended.

Step 6, procedure 3. Additional normalization

As mentioned above, complications arise from ambiguities introduced at step 5 during “hard” or incomplete “simple” removal or addition of protons and in the case of charged atoms resembling results of heterolytic dissociation. Since there could be more than one possible set of added/removed proton locations or more than one alternating path for “hard” addition or removal, ambiguity may be introduced. Another potential source of ambiguity (already mentioned in the introduction to Step 6 and illustrated on Fig. 11, structures 3b and 3c) can be found in a hypothetical zwitterionic structure that may be drawn in more than one way:



To avoid the ambiguity due to “hard” removal of protons or uncertain location of acidic hydrogen atoms the structure is tested for formal possibilities of:

- 1) moving positive charges between two atoms N or two atoms P, or between two atoms $-O-$ and $-O^+=$ where each O belongs to at least a 5-atom ring system and O is O, S, Se, or Te;
- 2) discovery new tautomeric patterns described in Table 6;
- 3) moving H or negative charges between heteroatoms along paths of alternating bonds between atoms M and Z located in fragments $MX-Q$ and $Z=Q$ (M, Z, and Q are defined in Table 6)
- 4) removing a pair of H and/or negative charges from a pair of heteroatoms M connected by a path of alternating bonds and attaching this pair of H and/or negative charges to another pair of heteroatoms Z connected by a path of alternating bonds. If ‘hard’ proton addition or removal was done M and Z definition is relaxed to include donors and acceptors of H and negative charges defined in Step 5.1(b).
- 5) The final step is executed only in the case of mobile negative charges. It puts all discovered atoms that possess previously discovered H and negative charges into a single mobile group. Atoms Q and S located in fragments $-Q-SX$ and $-O'-OX$ [definition of Q is in Table 6, definitions of Q, S and X are in the Step 5.1(b)], if present, are added to this group.

The InChI strings for the structure on Fig. 16a and 16b are, respectively:

```
InChI=1/C8H15NO4/c1-9(2,3)5-6(8(12)13)4-7(10)11/h6H,4-5H2,1-3H3,(H-,10,11,12,13)/f/h10H
```







```
InChI=1/C8H15NO4/c1-9(2,3)5-6(8(12)13)4-7(10)11/h6H,4-5H2,1-3H3,(H-,10,11,12,13)/f/h12H
```

Note that they have the same mobileH layer (H-,10,11,12,13) indicating that one hydrogen and one negative charge (‘H-‘) is shared by atoms 10,11,12,13 (the four oxygen of two carboxylic groups). Different fixedH layers indicate different exact positions of mobile hydrogen atoms in Fig. 12a and 12b (at atoms 10 and 12, resp.).

Normalization Limits

This approach avoids the possibility of representing different tautomeric representations of a given substance with different Identifiers in most, but not all cases. This imprecision results from a compromise between keeping in the Identifier as many structural features as possible while avoiding ambiguities introduced by ‘hard’ (de)protonation, a process necessary for dealing with variable protonation. One of the underlying normalization conventions is a free

migration of positive charges between atoms N along paths of alternating bonds. An example of a pair of structures that differ by the positive charge location is on Fig. 16c and 16f.

	Input Structure		Step 5 result	Canonical numbering
1	 Figure 16c	→ (hard)	 Figure 16d	 Figure 16e
2	 Figure 16f	→ (simple)	 Figure 16g	 Figure 16h

These structures should have same identifiers. Unfortunately, they don't. Step 5 of the normalization produces seemingly identical structures (Fig. 16d and 16g). However, these structures are treated differently at Step 6. Since the 'hard' deprotonation triggers additional 'collectivization' of hydrogen atoms, Step 6 allows atom H to migrate between 4 heteroatoms of structure 16c (canonical numbers 10,11, 13, 14) and only between two atoms N (canonical numbers 10, 11) of structure 16f. The identifiers for 16c, 16f, and 16g are:

16c	<chem>InChI=1S/C9H9N3OS/c1-12(2)9-10-5-3-7(13)8(14)4-6(5)11-9/h3-4H,1-2H3,(H,10,11,13,14)/p+1</chem>
16f	<chem>InChI=1S/C9H9N3OS/c1-12(2)9-10-5-3-7(13)8(14)4-6(5)11-9/h3-4H,1-2H3,(H,10,11)/p+1</chem>
16g	<chem>InChI=1S/C9H9N3OS/c1-12(2)9-10-5-3-7(13)8(14)4-6(5)11-9/h3-4H,1-2H3,(H,10,11)</chem>

c. Isotopic Layer (I)

This is the most straightforward structural layer to compute.




Figure 17

The isotopic layer is /i1+1,4+1D. It contains canonical atom number followed by the isotopic shift ($13 - 12 = +1$) followed by isotopic hydrogen (D) if present.

The only complexity arises for isotopically labeled hydrogen atoms that can undergo tautomerism. In the mobile H group these hydrogen atoms are treated as non-isotopic; the number of these mobile isotopic hydrogen atoms is appended to the "exchangeable isotopic hydrogen atoms" part of the isotopic layer. The same is done to isotopic hydrogen atoms that may be subject to heterolytic bond dissociation in aqueous solution (for example, D in R-SD)





Figure 18. Tautomeric structures of isotopic urea



InChI=1S/CH4N2O/c2-1(3)4/h(H4,2,3,4)/i/hD2

- Mobile H group (a,b,c) has 4 H located at atoms 2, 3, 4:: /h(H4,2,3,4)
- 2 isotopic hydrogen atoms D belong to the whole structure: /i/hD2

Figure 19

Also note that there are, in effect, two possible isotopic layer representations, one that is applied to the Main layer with mobile H and another to Main without mobile H or to the fixed-H layer. The optional fixed H layer for the same urea structure is /f/h2-3H2/i2D2; the full identifier is

InChI=1/CH4N2O/c2-1(3)4/h(H4,2,3,4)/i/hD2/f/h2-3H2/i2D2

d. Stereochemical Layer (S)

Because of common problems in perceiving and representing stereochemistry, the input information for this layer is the most likely to be incomplete or inaccurate. Further, it is not uncommon for structure collections to contain incomplete or no stereochemical information in their connection tables. Two-dimensional input structures will usually contain adequate information for *Z/E* stereo perception, though tetrahedral stereo information generally entered using wedge/hatch bonds may be absent or incomplete. An important advantage of the InChI layered format is the isolation of these potential problems and sources of variability in separate layers.




As noted earlier, layer values depend on contents of preceding layers. For example, the value produced for this layer will depend on whether it was derived from a Main layer or Fixed-H layer and whether it belongs to an isotopic layer. Therefore, this type of layer may be present at several locations in an Identifier (Figure 1 and 2).

Two distinct classes of stereochemistry are represented, sp^2 (double bond or *Z/E*) and sp^3 (tetrahedral). The double bond sublayer precedes the tetrahedral sublayer; as a result, properties of the tetrahedral atom neighbors do not affect double bond layer. This enables the proper representation of *Z/E* stereochemistry in conventional two-dimensional drawings even when stereo bond descriptions are incomplete or absent.

The InChI algorithm allows two different systems of wedged and hatched bond interpretation in two-dimensional drawings. By default, the convention “Narrow end of wedge points to stereocenter”, is used. It suggests that the bond affects the stereochemistry of only one atom.

Another - “perspective” - system is invoked by selecting “Narrow end of wedge points to stereocenter is OFF”, “/NEWPSOFF”, option. Here a wedged or hatched bond affects the stereochemistry of the two atoms it connects.

Both systems assume that the narrow end of the bond is in the plane of the drawing. Figure 20 illustrates the difference.

Input structure	Canonical numbering and sp ³ parities	
		
	(a) “perspective” system	(b) Narrow end of wedge points to stereocenter (default)
(a) InChI=1S/C4H10O2/c1-3(5)4(2)6/h3-6H,1-2H3/t3-,4-/m0/s1 (b) InChI=1S/C4H10O2/c1-3(5)4(2)6/h3-6H,1-2H3/t3-,4?/m0/s1		
Figure 20. Two systems of wedged/hatched bond interpretation		

On Fig. 20, ‘(?)’ means not-given (‘undefined’) stereo, (-) is a well-defined parity (see next paragraph) calculated by InChI. The presence of different *z*-coordinates of a stereogenic atom and its nearest neighbors (3-dimensional coordinates) overrides 2-dimensional Up and Down wedged and hatched bonds. However, “Either” (wavy) bonds in the 3-dimensional case still provide “unknown” stereochemistry even if the coordinates allow calculation of the sp³ parity.






The calculation of stereodescriptors in cases where neighbors to a stereogenic element are not constitutionally identical is straightforward: the parities are calculated from canonical numbers and geometry. Tetrahedral parity is ‘+’ if the canonical numbers of neighbors increase clockwise when observed from a hydrogen atom or an atom that has the smallest

canonical number; parity of a double bond is ‘-’ if neighbors with greater canonical numbers are located on the same side of the bond.

When constitutionally identical neighbors are present, several equivalent canonical numberings are possible. To resolve this ambiguity (break ties) the algorithm finds a numbering that minimizes a specific internal representation of the stereo layer. In this case it is desirable to determine whether a possibly stereogenic element is in fact stereogenic. To determine this, the following heuristic approach is used. A pair of constitutionally identical neighbors (we call them right and left neighbors) of a possibly stereogenic element is selected. These two neighbors and atoms around them are mapped on their constitutionally equivalent counterparts. After the mapping is complete the canonical numbers are switched between left and right (this leaves the non-stereochemical part of the identifier unchanged). Stereochemical layers corresponding to these two canonical numberings are compared. If the only change occurs to the stereogenic element in question and there are not more than two such constitutionally identical stereogenic elements then these elements are not marked as stereogenic. The origin of this rule is discussed later.

Double bond stereochemistry

When using input originating from drawings, the perception of formal double bonds capable of supporting *Z/E* isomerism employs pi-electron information derived from the input connection table along with atom coordinates.

Double bonds treated as possibly stereogenic				
				
Only one of two atoms connected by a possibly stereogenic double bond is shown				
Figure 21				

In alternating single/double bond cyclic systems, bond-finding algorithms determine whether a formal double bond can exist between each two attached atoms. If such a bond can be drawn

between sp^2 hybridized atoms, and the remainder of the pi-electron structure can be completed with alternating bonds, that bond is presumed to be a double bond, hence stereogenic (can support *Z/E* isomerism).

Replacement of ion pairs with incremented bond orders produces structures with two double bonds connected to a nitrogen atom. In reality one or both double bonds are in place of a single bond or a bond/charge resonance. The rules for stereogenic bond recognition are summarized in Table 7. Recognized stereogenic bonds are drawn in blue.

Table 7. Stereogenic bonds in =N= fragments			
	Input Fragment(s)	Normalized Fragment	Interpreted for Stereogenic bond detection as
1			
2			
3			No stereobond detected
4			

5			No stereobond detected
---	--	--	------------------------

In some structures, after fixing the location of a double bond, completion of alternating bonds in the remaining structure is not possible. In these cases, one or more ‘free electrons’ will remain. This commonly occurs for radicals and ions as well as for species with unconventional valences, especially those commonly represented using formal charge pairs (zwitterions). In such cases InChI assumes that this bond cannot support *Z/E* stereoisomerism. InChI uses the convention that only formal double, localized bonds or bonds that produce a complete alternating pi-network can be stereogenic.

The but-3-en-1-yl radical illustrates an incomplete alternating system – the proposed simplification could not distinguish *Z*- from *E*- isomers. It, in effect, presumes that these species rapidly interconvert:




Figure 22

This approximation allows the representation of stereoisomers that contain these uncertain stereo-bonds along with clearly-defined stereo features, such as:




Figure 23

These species would generate the same InChI.

The InChI supports a ‘not-known’ descriptor for marking double bonds where the *Z/E* isomer is not certain. That is, the stereolayers would be different for *Z*-but-2-ene, *E*-but-2-ene and but-2-ene.

Tetrahedral stereochemistry

Stereochemical descriptors will be processed for tetrahedral atoms such as C, Si and Ge. Currently InChI recognizes only the following atoms as capable of supporting sp³ stereochemistry:

Table 8. Atoms treated as possibly stereogenic				

An atom or positive ion **N**, **P**, **As**, **S**, or **Se** is not treated as stereogenic if it has

(a) A terminal **H** atom neighbor or

(b) At least two terminal neighbors, $-\text{XH}_m$ and $-\text{XH}_n$, ($n+m>0$) connected by any kind of bond, where **X** is **O**, **S**, **Se**, **Te**, or **N**.

Since InChI software v. 1.02-standard (2009), phosphines and arsines are always treated as stereogenic even with H atom neighbors.

The correctness of a drawing depicting stereogenic elements deserves special consideration. In InChI the following rules are used for two-dimensional drawings:

Table 9. Definition of 2D drawing correctness (4 ligands)

ok	warning	undefined	ok	ok	ok	ok
undefined	undef	undefined	ok	warning	ok	warning
undefined	warn: bonds inside 180° sector (examples)					ok

Table 10. Definition of 2D drawing correctness (3 ligands)

	ok	undefined	ok	undefined	undefined	undefined
input						
interpreted as						
	ok	ok	ok	ok	undefined	undefined
input						
interpreted as						

The parity of a stereogenic atom is calculated as a volume of an oriented tetrahedron. A wide end of a wedge bond is lifted at an angle of 45° to the plane; a wide end of a hatched bond is lowered at 45° from the plane. Before the volume is calculated all bonds are reduced to the same length. In addition to the warnings described in the tables above, an additional warning is issued if the central atom is outside of the tetrahedron.

When a complete stereo-description is provided it is straightforward to derive the InChI for a stereoisomer. Problems arise for representation of structures that contain inexact stereochemical information. In these cases stereochemical layers of InChI for different input representations of the same substance will match only if they contain precisely the same sets of inexact information. Moreover, stereochemical layers for inexact structures will not match stereochemical layers for a fully described stereoisomer.

Nevertheless, significant interest was expressed for including partial stereochemical information in the InChI. For this purpose, absolute and unknown stereochemical descriptors can be employed (Figure 24 – left structure is absolute, the C-BrC2H stereocenter in the right structure is unknown):




Figure 24

Representing relative stereochemistry of the whole structure is illustrated for tartaric acid in Fig 25, where it is known that the structure is described by either structure 1 or 2.




Figure 25

The identifiers for these structures (case of absolute stereochemistry) are

1	InChI=1S/C4H6O6/c5-1(3(7)8)2(6)4(9)10/h1-2,5-6H,(H,7,8)(H,9,10)/t1-,2-/m1/s1
2	InChI=1S/C4H6O6/c5-1(3(7)8)2(6)4(9)10/h1-2,5-6H,(H,7,8)(H,9,10)/t1-,2-/m0/s1

InChI considers both enantiomers and selects the one that has the “smaller” identifier. /m0 signifies that the selected one has exactly the same stereo arrangement as the input structure;

/m1 means that the selected one has the inverse arrangement. /s1 means absolute stereochemistry was requested.




To identify relative stereochemistry the /m segment of the identifier is dropped. As the result the identifiers (case of relative stereochemistry) are the same:

1	InChI=1/C4H6O6/c5-1(3(7)8)2(6)4(9)10/h1-2,5-6H,(H,7,8)(H,9,10)/t1-,2-/s2
2	InChI=1/C4H6O6/c5-1(3(7)8)2(6)4(9)10/h1-2,5-6H,(H,7,8)(H,9,10)/t1-,2-/s2

/s2 means relative stereochemistry was requested.

The Molfile structure format supports the special feature, Chirality Flag. If this flag is set, the tetrahedral stereo is absolute, otherwise relative. The InChI option “Include stereo from chiral flag” (/SUCF command line option) makes InChI calculate tetrahedral stereo according to the Chiral Flag. If Chiral Flag is set to select “Include stereo from chiral flag”, and InChI finds that the tetrahedral stereo descriptor does not change upon inversion of the structure, the warning "Not chiral" is issued.




Allenes belong to the tetrahedral layer. Cumulenes are treated as double bonds. The following rules are used to recognize allenes and cumulenes:

Cumulenes treated as possibly stereogenic		
Terminal atoms		
		
Middle atoms		
		
Figure 26		





Only cumulenes that have 3 double bonds and allenes that have 2 double bonds are treated as possibly stereogenic. Canonicalization of allene and cumulene stereochemistry is performed together with the double bond stereochemistry.

Examples and limitations of the “not more than two constitutionally identical stereogenic elements” rule

Fig. 27 shows an example illustrating three constitutionally identical stereogenic elements. Atom 4 on Fig. 27(b) is the atom in question; upon switching its neighbors only its parity changed, from ⁴4(-) to ⁴4(+) [Fig. 27(c)]. Therefore this atom is considered stereogenic.

Input structure	Canonical numbering and sp ³ parities	Numbering switched between atoms 1 and 2 and corresponding parities
 <p>(a)</p>	 <p>(b)</p>	 <p>(c)</p>
(b) InChI = 1/C6H12/c1-4-5(2)6(4)3/h4-6H,1-3H3/t4-,5-,6- (c) Switched = 1/C6H12/c1-4-5(2)6(4)3/h4-6H,1-3H3/t4+,5-,6-		
Figure 27. Switching neighbors of a possibly stereogenic atom		

Another example of the same rule applied to stereogenic double bonds is on Fig. 28.

	Input structure	Canonical numbering and double bond parities
(a)		
(b)		
(a) InChI=1S/C9H12/c1-4-7-8(5-2)9(7)6-3/h4-6H,1-3H3/b7-4-,8-5-,9-6- (b) InChI=1S/C9H12/c1-4-7-8(5-2)9(7)6-3/h4-6H,1-3H3/b7-4-,8-5+,9-6-		Figure 28


This (as well as Fig. 27) illustrates the limitation in using parities to mark individual stereogenic atoms or bonds and application of the “not more than two constitutionally identical stereogenic elements” rule.

Consider structure (a) on Fig. 28. Although the parity was assigned by the InChI algorithm to bond 6-9 of the structure (vertical red double bond), the bond definitely does not look stereogenic: the part of the molecule below the bond is symmetric with respect to the double bond axis. The same is true for the bond 7-4 of the same structure (blue double bond). Marking both colored bonds non-stereogenic makes the third double bond, 8-5, also non-stereogenic. As the result, the structure on Fig. 28(a) appears to have no stereochemistry at all and therefore appears indistinguishable from a structure that really has no data to determine its stereochemistry. The “not more than two” rule forces the retention of the parities of these three double bonds.

However, since the purpose of InChI is to provide an identifier, and not to reveal the true stereochemistry of the submitted structure, this is not a limitation of the InChI: the stereochemical layers of the two stereoisomers on Fig. 28 are different. This rule enables one stereoisomer to be distinguished from another.

Other limitations of sp^3 stereo recognition

InChI does not recognize non stereogenic central atoms in structures belonging to D_{2d} , S_4 , or C_{2v} symmetry groups if the central atom and all four of its neighbors belong to the same ring system and the removal of the central atom does not split the ring system into two or more. Parity (-) is always assigned to such non stereogenic (symmetric) central atoms. For example, structures (a) and (b) on Fig. 29 are identical. The symmetry group is D_{2d} . Since the symmetry group includes a reflection plane and/or an improper rotation axis (S_4 , rotation + reflection), the central atom (on Fig. 29 it has canonical number 13) is symmetric and its parity is meaningless; the structure is not chiral. However, since this parity is always assigned and is always (-), this is not a limitation of the InChI: the purpose of InChI is to provide an identifier, and not to reveal the true stereochemistry of the submitted structure.



C_{2v} and S_4 examples can easily be obtained from the structure on Fig. 29 by isotopic substitution or adding 2 or 4 atoms.


e. Canonicalization

Canonicalization is generation of a set of atom labels that do not depend on how the structure was initially drawn. This is a well-known mathematical problem. It has been discussed in both chemical and mathematical literature. For canonicalization that does not involve stereochemistry an algorithm as described in the classic publication [5] was implemented and modified to accommodate the layered structure of InChI.

The stereochemical canonicalization is based on an exhaustive mapping of non-stereochemical canonical numbering on the structure using previously found constitutional equivalence of the atoms with the aim to find the smallest internal representation of the stereochemical layer while keeping other previously found layers unchanged. To avoid combinatorial explosion in the case of highly symmetrical structures two approaches are used: (1) elimination of non-stereogenic elements and (2) a backtrack search method that prunes the search tree [6].

The canonicalization is performed in stages; each stage adds one more layer to ‘minimize’ while keeping previously found layers unchanged. This makes splitting the identifier into layers meaningful. Fig. 30 shows the canonicalization flowchart. As can be seen, the first

layer of the Identifier is actually a hydrogenless chemical formula and connections (including bridging hydrogen atoms).



Notes.

- Each set of canonical numberings is a subset of the previous one located up the tree.
- $\Delta(\text{fixed H}) = (\text{number of fixed H on an atom}) - (\text{number of H in "mobile-H" structure on the same atom})$.
- Names in parentheses e.g. (Ct_NoH) are names of data structures in the code.

V. HASHED REPRESENTATION (InChIKey)

a. Overview

The InChIKey is a character signature based on a hash code of the InChI string. A hash code is a fixed length condensed digital representation of a variable length character string. Providing a signature derived from an InChI string is helpful for search applications, including Web searching and chemical structure database indexing; also, it may serve as a checksum for verifying InChI, for example, after transmission over a network.

To find the InChI that generated an InChIKey, one needs a cross-reference or lookup table. The situation is similar to that with the Internet DNS lookup which resolves host name to the IP address; that is why Web-based InChIKey Resolver service(s) have appeared. Naturally, for stand-alone databases a lookup service may be added by developers/maintainers.

The hash of the InChI string is the sequence of bits, a binary number. It is represented, in InChIKey, by uppercase English letters (so-called base-26 encoding).

This encoding is just a representation issue. In fact, the same hash may be represented by letters, digits, letters and digits and even with bare 0s and 1s (as actually represented internally, in computer memory). However, representation issues may appear critical for applications like publishing or Web search. In particular, Web search engines may tend to break the text "on the border" between letters and non-letters, trying to detect "words" since the words of human languages do not contain digits or punctuation marks. Though the exact behavior may vary from one search engine to another and from context to context (and even change with time for the same search engine), it is more robust to have nothing but letters in the InChIKey. Using only letters increases chances that a search engine would consider InChIKey as a single "word" (or phrase) and would index it as such. Also, the robust approach assumes use of only upper-case letters - to avoid possible confusion between upper- and lower-case letters.

A beta-version of the InChIKey was introduced in software v. 1.02-beta (2007). The standard InChIKey was introduced in v. 1.02-standard release (2009) as an InChIKey computed from the standard InChI and intended for the principal purpose of a search-engine-style lookup of chemical information. The v. 1.03 (2010) release of the InChI software has merged functionality. It allows one to produce both standard and non-standard InChIKey.

b. Format

Described below is the current - v.1.03 (2010) - format of InChIKey.

Note that it is different from that of the beta version v. 1.02-beta (2007); however, the current format of the standard InChIKey is the same as that of v. 1.02-standard (2009).

InChIKey has five distinct components:

1. The first block: 14-character hash of the basic (Mobile-H) InChI layer. It encodes molecular skeleton (connectivity);
2. The second block: 8-character hash of the remaining layers (except for the “/p” segment, which accounts for added or removed protons: it is not hashed at all; the number of protons is encoded at the end of the InChIKey). It encodes stereochemistry and isotopic substitution information, associated with molecular connectivity expressed by the first block. In case of non-standard InChIKey, it also encodes information on the exact position of tautomeric hydrogens (if any), as well as on the related stereo/isotopic data.
3. 1 flag character (for “standard/non-standard”),
4. 1 version character,
5. the last character is a [de]protonation indicator.

All symbols of InChIKey except the delimiter (a dash, that is, a minus) are uppercase English letters representing a “base-26” encoding.

The overall length of InChIKey is fixed at 27 characters, including separators (dashes):

AAAAAAAAAAAAA-BBBBBBBFV-P


Here

- (1) **AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA** is a 14-character first hash block.
- (2) **BBBBBBBB** is an 8-character second hash block.
- (3) **F** is a flag indicating kind of InChIKey: it has either the value 'S' for standard InChIKey or the value 'N' for non-standard.
- (4) **V** is a character indicating InChI version: 'A' for version 1 (current), 'B' for version 2, etc.
- (5) **P** is an indicator for the number of protons; this number is not encoded in the hash but is indicated as a separate 2-character block at the end, where one character is a hyphen, as -N for neutral, -M for -1 hydrogen, -O for +1 hydrogen, etc.

The exact layout is presented below:

Char	Protons	Char	Protons
N	0		
M	-1	O	+1
L	-2	P	+2
K	-3	Q	+3
J	-4	R	+4
I	-5	S	+5
H	-6	T	+6
G	-7	U	+7
F	-8	V	+8
E	-9	W	+9
D	-10	X	+10
C	-11	Y	+11
B	-12	Z	+12
A	< -12 or > +12		

An example (standard) InChIKey is shown below.



InChI=1S/C8H10N4O2/c1-10-4-9-6-5(10)7(13)12(3)8(14)11(6)2/h4H,1-3H3 (caffeine)




Figure 31. Standard InChIKey for caffeine.

InChIKey inherits some layered structure from InChI. The first block is always the same for the same molecular skeleton. All isotopic substitutions, changes in stereoconfiguration, tautomerism and protonization are reflected in the second block. Note that, by definition, standard InChIKey, like standard InChI, does not account for tautomerism and may indicate only absolute stereo. It also does not account for the original structure's bonds to metal, if they were present and disconnected on standard InChI generation.

Note also that different protonation states of the same compound will have InChIKeys which differ only by the last character, the protonation flag (unless the both states have number of inserted/removed protons > 12; in this case the protonation flag will also be the same, 'A'). Additionally, by design of standard InChI/InChIKey, different tautomers of the same compound (as far as their particular tautomerism is perceived by InChI) will have the same standard identifiers.

As an example, shown below (Figure 32) are standard InChIKeys as well as standard InChI strings for neutral, zwitterionic, anionic and cationic states of glycine (its neutral and zwitterionic states do not differ in total number of protons so they have the same InChI/InChIKey):




Figure 32

c. Hash calculation and collision resistance

The two hash blocks of InChIKey are based on a truncated SHA-256 cryptographic hash function (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SHA_hash_functions#SHA-2). Note that the truncation of the hash is explicitly allowed by the SHA-2 description (<http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips180-2/fips180-2withchangenotice.pdf>).

InChIKey hash consists, internally, of 102 bits: 65 in the first block (molecular skeleton, or connectivity) and 37 in the second one (stereo/protonation/isotopic substitution isomers). Each block is created from the separately computed SHA-256 signature.

A cryptographic hash is used in order to increase the chances that collision resistance will be as close to the theoretical limit as possible. However, due to the very essence of hash functions, collisions (the same InChIKey for different InChIs/structures) are unavoidable in very large collections.

Theoretical estimates

A theoretical – optimistic – estimate of collision resistance (i.e., the minimal size of a database at which a single collision is expected, that is, an event of the two hashes of two different InChI strings being the same) is 6.1×10^9 molecular skeletons $\times 3.7 \times 10^5$ stereo/protonation/isotopic substitution isomers per skeleton $\approx 2.2 \times 10^{15}$. To exemplify: the probability of a single first block collision in a database of 1 billion compounds is 1.3%. That is, a single first block collision is expected in 1 out of $100/1.3 = 75$ randomly created databases containing 10^9 compounds each. For 10^8 (100 million) compounds in a database this probability is 0.014%.

An alternative estimate of collision resistance is the chance of an accidental collision upon adding a new entry to an existing collection. For a collection of 1 billion different InChIKey entries, the estimated probability of an accidental collision of the first layers for a newly added structure is 2.7×10^{-9} % and for both layers is 2.0×10^{-20} %.

As already stated, collisions are unavoidable in very large collections. Some recent estimates of chemical space size for small molecules are in excess of 10^{60} , and for proteins it is 10^{390} [P. Kirkpatrick, C. Ellis. *Nature*, 2004, 432(7019), Insight, pp. 823-865, <http://www.nature.com/nature/insights/7019.html> and refs. therein]. T. Fink et al. in “Virtual Exploration of the Small-Molecule Chemical Universe below 160 Daltons”, [*Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, 44(10), pp. 1504-1508] quote an estimate of 10^{18} - 10^{200} .

It is worth mentioning also that the estimates of hash collision probabilities given above are for an ideal hash and may not be valid in practice because of as yet unknown properties of the SHA-2 hash.

Experimental testing of collision resistance

The uniqueness of InChIKey (as a whole comprising the two blocks) was tested on various databases of InChI strings created out of real and generated structures, up to 77×10^6 entries. No hash collisions were observed in any of these databases.

Separate testing was performed for the 2nd block of InChIKey. Its length of 37 bits means that collisions should appear for a reasonably sized – given today's computer power – dataset; so one may check if the frequency of observed collisions corresponds to the theoretical estimate (doing so for the 1st block would require too large a dataset).

The experiments were performed with stereo isomers of Spongistatin I (<http://inchis.chemspider.com/Resolver.aspx?q=ICXJVZHDZFXEQC>). This molecule (one of stereoisomers is shown below, Figure 33) has 24 tetrahedral stereocenters and 2 stereogenic double bonds – that is, far beyond the capacity of InChIKey's 2nd block hash.




Figure 33

A full exploration of Spongistatin I stereoisomers and corresponding InChIKeys was performed by generating stereoisomers and computing their InChIKeys. It was found that for

various subsets of the full isomer set, the observed numbers of collisions, N , perfectly corresponded to theoretical estimates. The results are shown below.

Table 11. Stereo isomers of Spongistatin I: observed average numbers of non-unique InChIKeys vs. theoretical estimate for number of collisions (doublets). For the observed values the number of samplings used for averaging is given in parentheses.

Number of isomers in dataset	Number of non-unique keys, found	Theor. number of collisions (doublets)
50,000	0.006 (500)	0.009
100,000	0.024 (250)	0.036
250,000	0.13 (100)	0.23
370,000	0.51 (100)	0.50
500,000	0.90 (100)	0.91
1,000,000	3.6 (50)	3.6
2,000,000	14.4 (50)	14.6
3,000,000	33.1 (50)	32.7
4,000,000	59.2 (50)	58.2
8,000,000	234.2 (50)	232.8
16,000,000	928.9 (40)	931.3
32,000,000	3753.1 (30)	3725.3
67,108,864 (full set of 2^{26} isomers)	16565*	16384

* All collisions are double except for 2 triplets

To enlarge a base for comparison, analogous numerical experiments were performed with a dataset of the same size, 2^{26} , and its subsets – but populated with generated stereo and isotopic isomers of Spongistatin I. The results are shown below.

Table 12. Stereo/isotopo isomers of Spongistatin I: observed average numbers of non-unique InChIKeys vs. theoretical estimate for number of collisions (doublets).

For the observed values the number of samplings used for averaging is given in parentheses.

Number of isomers in dataset	Number of non-unique keys, found	Theor. number of collisions (doublets)
50,000	0.016 (500)	0.009
100,000	0.064 (250)	0.036
250,000	0.29 (100)	0.23
370,000	0.56 (100)	0.50
500,000	0.96 (100)	0.91
1,000,000	3.7 (50)	3.6
2,000,000	14.9 (50)	14.6
3,000,000	33.2 (50)	32.7
4,000,000	58.0 (50)	58.2
8,000,000	231.2 (50)	232.8
16,000,000	930.5 (40)	931.3
32,000,000	3702.1 (30)	3725.3
67,108,864 (full set of 2^{26} isomers)	16328*	16384

* All collisions are double except for 2 triplets

Both tables show that, as concerns collision resistance, the 2nd block of InChIKey behaves in perfect agreement with theoretical estimate.

Anyway, as there were some concerns regarding the limited capacity of InChIKey, the possibility to output the rest of 256-bit SHA-2 signature for the 1st and 2nd blocks has been introduced in InChI software since v. 1.03 (2010). This is done with the command-line options “/XHash1” and “/XHash2” (“-XHash1” and “-XHash2” under Linux).

Example (note that the rest of signature is printed in hexadecimal notation to avoid confusion with InChIKey which consists solely of English capital letters):

InChI=1S/C4H8/c1-3-4-2/h3-4H,1-2H3/b4-3+

InChIKey=IAQRGUVFOMOMEM-ONEGZZNKSA-N

XHash1=82ff0307735072b4ec27b9c093e9486dca09e8df1d0812c9

XHash2=403ee94266e1d8d96d47b99c4b17ff5f92e3a74e3f0f5ab8bc2775bb

VI. REFERENCES

1. "The Chemical Abstracts Service Chemical Registry System. VII. Tautomerism and Alternating Bonds", by Mockus, J. and Stobaugh, R. E.; *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* 1980, 20, p. 18-22.
2. "Chemical Abstracts Service Chemical Registry System. 13. Enhanced Handling of Stereochemistry", Blackwood, J. E., Blower, P. E., Jr., Layten, S. W., Lillie, D. H., Lipkus, A. H., Peer, J. P., Qian, C., Staggenborg, L. M., Watson, C. E., *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, 1991, vol. 31, p. 204-212.
3. "Fun with Chirality" Dr. Ron Beavon, <http://www.rod.beavon.clara.net/chiralit.htm>, 2001.
4. W. Kocay, D. Stone, "An Algorithm for Balanced Flows", *The Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*, vol. 19 (1995) pp. 3-31.
5. B. D. McKay, "Practical Graph Isomorphism", *Congressus Numerantium*, Vol. 30 (1981), pp. 45 – 87.
6. G. Butler, "Fundamental Algorithms for Permutational Groups", Berlin ; New York: Springer-Verlag, 1991 (Series: Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 559), Chapter 11.

VII. BIBLIOGRAPHY

a. Canonical (Unique) Numbering Algorithms

The Generation of a Unique Machine Description for Chemical Structures---A Technique Developed at Chemical Abstracts Service.

Morgan, H.L.

Journal of Chemical Documentation

Vol. 5, pp. 107-113, 1965

Stereochemically Unique Naming Algorithm

Wipke, W.T.; Dyott, T.M.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

Vol. 96, No. 15, pp. 4834-4842, **1974**

Canonical Numbering and Constitutional Symmetry

Jochum, C.; Gasteiger, J.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 113-117, **1977**

Computer Perception of Topological Symmetry

Shelley, C.A.; Munk, M.E.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 110-113, **1977**

Computer Perception of Topological Symmetry

Shelley, C.A.; Munk, M.E.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 110-113, **1977**

On Canonical Numbering of Atoms in a Molecule and Graph Isomorphism

Randic, M.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 17, No. 3, pp. 171-180, **1977**

Algorithms for Unique and Unambiguous Coding and Symmetry Perception of Molecular Structure Diagram. I. Vector Functions for Automorphism Partitioning

Uchino, M.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 20, pp. 116-120, **1980**

Computer Perception of Topological Symmetry via Canonical Numbering of Atoms

Randic, M.; Brissey, G.M.; Wilkins, C.L.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 21, pp. 52-59, **1981**

Unique Numbering and Cataloguing of Molecular Structures

Hendrickson, J.B.; Toczko, A.G.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 23, pp. 171-177, **1983**

Canonical Numbering, Stereochemical Descriptors, and Unique Linear Notations for Polyhedral Clusters

Herndon, W.C.; Leonard, J.E.

Inorganic Chemistry

Vol. 22, pp. 554-557, **1983**

Unique Description of Chemical Structures Based on Hierarchically Ordered Extended Connectivities (HOC Procedures). I. Algorithms for Finding Graph Orbits and Canonical Numbering of Atoms

Balaban, A.T.; Mekenyan, O.; Bonchev, D.

Journal of Computational Chemistry

Vol. 6, No. 6, pp. 538-551, **1985**

Unique Description of Chemical Structures Based on Hierarchically Ordered Extended Connectivities (HOC Procedures). III. Topological, Chemical, and Stereochemical Coding of Molecular Structure

Balaban, A.T.; Mekenyan, O.; Bonchev, D.

Journal of Computational Chemistry

Vol. 6, No. 6, pp. 562-569, **1985**

SMILES. 2. Algorithm for Generation of Unique SMILES Notation

Weininger, D.; Weininger, A.; Weininger, J.L.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 29, pp. 97-101, **1989**

Canonical Indexing and Constructive Enumeration of Molecular Graphs

Kvasnicka, V.; Pospichal, J.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 30, pp. 99-105, **1990**

Computer Perception of Constitutional (Topological) Symmetry: TOPSYM, a Fast Algorithm for Partitioning Atoms and Pairwise Relations Among Atoms into Equivalence Classes

Rucker, G.; Rucker, C.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 30, pp. 187-191, **1990**

On Using the Adjacency Matrix Power Method for Perception of Symmetry and for Isomorphism Testing of Highly Intricate Graphs

Rucker, G.; Rucker, C.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 31, pp. 123-126, **1991**

ESSESA: An Expert System for Structure Elucidation from Spectra. 4. Canonical Representation of Structures
Huixiao, H.; Xinquan, X.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 34, pp. 730-734, **1994**

A Computer-Oriented Linear Canonical Notational System for the Representation of Organic Structures with Stereochemistry
Agarwal, K.K.; Gelernter, H.L.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 34, pp. 463-479, **1994**

Detection of Constitutionally Equivalent Sites from a Connection Table
Fan, B.T.; Barbu, A.; Panaye, A.; Doucet, J.-P.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 36, pp. 654-659, **1996**

Isomorphism, Automorphism Partitioning, and Canonical Labeling Can Be Solved in Polynomial-Time for Molecular Graphs
Faulon, J.-L.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 38, pp. 432-444, **1998**

The Signature Molecular Descriptor. 4. Canonizing Molecules Using Extended Valence Sequences
Faulon, J.-L.; Collins, M.J.; Carr, R.D.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 44, pp. 427-436, **2004**

b. Conversion of Unique Names to Fixed Length Name (Hash)

Hash Functions for Rapid Storage and Retrieval of Chemical Structures
Wipke, W.T.; Krishnan, S.; Ouchi, G.I.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 32-37, **1978**

Structure Searching in Chemical Databases by Direct Lookup Methods
Christie, B.D.; Leland, B.A.; Nourse, J.G.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 33, pp. 545-547, **1993**

Hash Codes for the Identification and Classification of Molecular Structure Elements
Ihlenfeldt, W.D.; Gasteiger, J.

Journal of Computational Chemistry
Vol. 15, No. 8, pp. 793-813, **1994**

c. Representation of Chemical Structures (relevant to naming)

Simulation and Evaluation of Chemical Synthesis. Computer Representation and Manipulation of Stereochemistry

Wipke, W.T.; Dyott, T.M.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

Vol. 96, No. 15, pp. 4825-4834, **1974**

An Efficient Design for Chemical Structure Searching. III. The Coding of Resonating and Tautomeric Forms

Feldman, A.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 220-223, **1977**

A Representation of π Systems for Efficient Computer Manipulation

Gasteiger, J.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 19, No. 2, pp. 111-115, **1979**

The Chemical Abstracts Service Chemical Registry System. VII. Tautomerism and Alternating Bonds

Mockus, J.; Stobauch, R.J.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 20, No. 1, pp. 18-22, **1980**

Computer-Assisted Mechanistic Evaluation of Organic Reactions. 2. Perception of Rings, Aromaticity, and Tautomers

Roos-Kozel, B.L.; Jorgenson, W.L.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 21, pp. 101-111, **1991**

Chemical Abstracts Service Chemical Registry System. 13. Enhanced Handling of Stereochemistry

Blackwood, J.E.; Blower, pp.E., Jr.; Layten, S.W.; Lillie, D.H.; Lipkus, A.H.; Peer, J.P.; Qian, C.; Staggenborg, L.M.; Watson, C.E.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 21, pp. 204-212, **1991**

Stereochemistry and Sequence Rules A Proposal for Modification of Cahn-Ingold-Prelog System

Perdih, M.; Razinger, M.

Tetrahedron: Asymmetry

Vol. 5, No. 5, pp. 835-861, **1994**

Computerized Stereochemistry: Coding and Naming Configurational Stereoisomers
Razinger, M.; Perdih, M.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 34, pp. 290-296, **1994**

Implementation of the Cahn-Ingold-Prelog System for Stereochemical Perception in the
LHASA Program
Mata, pp.; Lobo, A.M.; Marshall, C.; Johnson, A.P.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 34, pp. 491-504, **1994**

Chemical eXchange format (CXF)
Chemical Abstracts Service
Version 1.0
September, **1994**

Overcoming the Limitations of a Connection Table Description: A Universal Representation
of Chemical Species
Bauerschmidt, S.; Gasteiger, J.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 37, pp. 705-714, **1997**

d. Fundamental Aspects of Unique Naming Methods

Erroneous Claims Concerning the Perception of Topological Symmetry
Carhart, R.E.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 18, pp. 108-110, **1978**

Conformation Specification of Chemical Structures in Computer Programs
Fella, A.L.; Nourse, J.G.; Smith, D.H.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 23, pp. 43-47, **1983**

Chemical Abstracts Service Chemical Registry System. 13. Enhanced Handling of
Stereochemistry
Blackwood, J.E.; Blower, Jr., pp.E.; Layten, S.W.; Lillie, D.H.; Lipkus, A.H.; Peer, J.P.; Qian,
C.; Staggenborg, L. M.; Watson, C. E.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences
Vol. 31, No. 2, pp. 204-212, **1991**

Counts of All Walks as Atomic and Molecular Descriptors
Rucker, G.; Rucker, C.
Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 33, pp. 683-695, **1993**

Symmetry of Chemical Structures: A Novel Method of Graph Automorphism Group Determination

Bohanec, S.; Perdih, M.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 33, pp. 719-726, **1993**

Mathematical Relation between Extended Connectivity and Eigenvector Coefficients

Rucker, G.; Rucker, C.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 34, pp. 534-538, **1994**

Computational Techniques for the Automorphism Groups of Graphs

Balasubramanian, K.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 34, pp. 621-626, **1994**

Computer Generation of Automorphism Groups of Weighted Graphs

Balasubramanian, K.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 34, pp. 1146-1150, **1994**

Algorithm for Computer Perception of Topological Symmetry

Hu, C.-Y.; Xu, L.

Analytica Chimica Acta

Vol. 295, pp. 127-134, **1994**

Determination of Topological Equivalence Classes of Atoms and Bonds in C₂₀-C₆₈ Fullerenes Using a New Prolog Coding Program

Laidboeur, T.; Cabrol-Bass, D.; Ivanciuc, O.

Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences

Vol. 36, pp. 811-821, **1996**

e. Hash and checksum calculation

Secure Hash Standard. Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 180-2

(+ Change Notice to include SHA-224). <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips180-2/fips180-2withchangenotice.pdf>

Appendix 1. InChI Standard Valences

(from array ElData[] located in source code file util.c)

Elements, average atomic mass, metals, standard valences, and conditions for implicit H addition.

Element	Ave. at. mass	metal**	Add H	Standard valence of atoms for charges from -2 to +2				
				-2	-1	0	+1	+2
H	1	-	Yes	-	-	1	-	-
He	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Li	7	M1	Yes	-	-	1	-	-
Be	9	M1	Yes	-	-	2	1	-
B	11	-	Yes	3	4	3	2	1
C	12	-	Yes	2	3	4	3	2
N	14	-	Yes*	1	2	3 5*	4	3
O	16	-	Yes	-	1	2	3 5	4
F	19	-	Yes	-	-	1	2	3 5
Ne	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Na	23	M1	Yes	-	-	1	-	-
Mg	24	M1	Yes	-	-	2	1	-
Al	27	M1	Yes	3 5	4	3	2	1
Si	28	-	Yes	2	3 5	4	3	2
P	31	-	Yes	1 3 5 7	2 4 6	3 5	4	3
S	32	-	Yes*	-	1 3 5 7	2 4* 6	3 5	4
Cl	35	-	Yes	-	-	1 3 5 7	2 4 6	3 5
Ar	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
K	39	M1	Yes	-	-	1	-	-
Ca	40	M1	Yes	-	-	2	1	-
Sc	45	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Ti	48	M1	-	-	-	3 4	-	-
V	51	M1	-	-	-	2 3 4 5	-	-
Cr	52	M1	-	-	-	2 3 6	-	-
Mn	55	M2	-	-	-	2 3 4 6	-	-
Fe	56	M2	-	-	-	2 3 4 6	-	-
Co	59	M2	-	-	-	2 3	-	-
Ni	59	M2	-	-	-	2 3	-	-
Cu	64	M1	-	-	-	1 2	-	-
Zn	65	M1	-	-	-	2	-	-
Ga	70	M1	Yes	3 5	4	3	-	1
Ge	73	-	Yes	2 4 6	3 5	4	3	-
As	75	-	Yes	1 3 5 7	2 4 6	3 5	4	3
Se	79	-	Yes	-	1 3 5 7	2 4 6	3 5	4
Br	80	-	Yes	-	-	1 3 5 7	2 4 6	3 5
Kr	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rb	85	M1	Yes	-	-	1	-	-
Sr	88	M1	Yes	-	-	2	1	-
Y	89	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Zr	91	M1	-	-	-	4	-	-
Nb	93	M1	-	-	-	3 5	-	-
Mo	96	M1	-	-	-	3 4 5 6	-	-
Tc	98	M1	-	-	-	7	-	-
Ru	101	M1	-	-	-	2 3 4 6	-	-
Rh	103	M1	-	-	-	2 3 4	-	-

Pd	106	M1	-	-	-	2 4	-	-
Ag	108	M1	-	-	-	1	-	-
Cd	112	M1	-	-	-	2	-	-
In	115	M1	Yes	3 5	2 4	3	-	1
Sn	119	M2	Yes	2 4 6	3 5	2 4	3	-
Sb	122	M1	Yes	1 3 5 7	2 4 6	3 5	2 4	3
Te	128	-	Yes	-	1 3 5 7	2 4 6	3 5	2 4
I	127	-	Yes	-	-	1 3 5 7	2 4 6	3 5
Xe	131	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cs	133	M1	Yes	-	-	1	-	-
Ba	137	M1	Yes	-	-	2	1	-
La	139	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Ce	140	M2	-	-	-	3 4	-	-
Pr	141	M2	-	-	-	3 4	-	-
Nd	144	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Pm	145	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Sm	150	M2	-	-	-	2 3	-	-
Eu	152	M2	-	-	-	2 3	-	-
Gd	157	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Tb	159	M2	-	-	-	3 4	-	-
Dy	163	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Ho	165	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Er	167	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Tm	169	M2	-	-	-	2 3	-	-
Yb	173	M2	-	-	-	2 3	-	-
Lu	175	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Hf	178	M1	-	-	-	4	-	-
Ta	181	M1	-	-	-	5	-	-
W	184	M2	-	-	-	3 4 5 6	-	-
Re	186	M2	-	-	-	2 4 6 7	-	-
Os	190	M2	-	-	-	2 3 4 6	-	-
Ir	192	M2	-	-	-	2 3 4 6	-	-
Pt	195	M2	-	-	-	2 4	-	-
Au	197	M1	-	-	-	1 3	-	-
Hg	201	M2	-	-	-	1 2	-	-
Tl	204	M2	Yes	3 5	2 4	1 3	-	-
Pb	207	M2	Yes	2 4 6	3 5	2 4	3	-
Bi	209	M1	Yes	1 3 5 7	2 4 6	3 5	2 4	3
Po	209	M2	Yes	-	1 3 5 7	2 4 6	3 5	2 4
At	210	-	Yes	-	-	1 3 5 7	2 4 6	3 5
Rn	222	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fr	223	M1	Yes	-	-	1	-	-
Ra	226	M1	Yes	-	-	2	1	-
Ac	227	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Th	232	M2	-	-	-	3 4	-	-
Pa	231	M2	-	-	-	3 4 5	-	-
U	238	M2	-	-	-	3 4 5 6	-	-
Np	237	M2	-	-	-	3 4 5 6	-	-
Pu	244	M2	-	-	-	3 4 5 6	-	-
Am	243	M2	-	-	-	3 4 5 6	-	-
Cm	247	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Bk	247	M1	-	-	-	3 4	-	-
Cf	251	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Es	252	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Fm	257	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-
Md	258	M1	-	-	-	3	-	-

No	259	M1	-	-	-	1	-	-
Lr	260	M1	-	-	-	1	-	-
Rf	261	M1	-	-	-	1	-	-

* Implicit H will not be added to reach valences marked with *


** M1 – only lowest valence is used for salt disconnection;

M2 – the lowest and the 2nd to the lowest valence are used for salt disconnection.

Appendix 2. Abbreviations and Layer Precedence

a. Layer Precedence

The layer precedence is depicted in the following chart:



Note to the InChI layer precedence chart: In the InChI string, the /o segment, if it is present, is located after Fixed H layer (isotopic part), not at the end of the Fixed H layer.

The arrows are directed from the succeeding to the preceding layer and refer to the “repeating segments”, namely /q, /b, /t, /m, /s, /i, and a chemical formula. The non-repeating segments are /c, /h, /p, /o

If the repeating segment is exactly same as its preceding counterpart then the segment is omitted. If the segment is empty and its preceding counterpart is not empty then the empty segment is output.

More formally the rules for repeating segment omission and empty segment presence are:

R1. If all segments in two layers, **F** and **FI**, are identical to the corresponding segments in the other two layers, **M** and **MI** (this means that the non-repeating segments /h and /o in **F** and **FI** are empty), then both **F** and **FI** are to be omitted. This includes cases when **F** or **FI** is empty. Note that neither one of **MI** and **FI** succeeds the other. Application of this rule means that fixing H does not affect the identifier when not mobile H are found.

R2. The succeeding layer **MI**, **F**, or **FI** is to be omitted if:

- all its non-repeating segments are empty, AND
- all its repeating segments (including empty ones) are exactly same as their counterparts in the preceding layer. However, see rule R3 about leaving /f in the InChI.

R3. If the layer **MI** or **F** that is being omitted has a succeeding layer that is not being omitted than the first empty segment of the former layer should be left in the InChI. Therefore if **F** is omitted and **FI** is not, /f should be left in the InChI to separate **FI** from the preceding part of the InChI string.

R4. The repeating segment is to be omitted if it is exactly same as its counterpart in the preceding layer.

R5. If a repeating segment is empty while its counterpart in the preceding layer is not empty then the empty repeating segment should be included in the InChI.

R6. Stereo-specific. If a stereo segment is empty in both **M** and **F** then the preceding namesake counterpart for a stereo segment in **FI** is located in **MI**.

R7. Isotope-specific. The preceding namesake counterpart for the **FI** /i segment (isotopic atoms) is located in **MI**.

R8. The empty first segment of a layer means “same as in the preceding layer” in case of /f in **F**, /i in **FI**.

Application of these rules are illustrated on Fig. A2-1

Isotopic structure	Non-isotopic structure
InChI=1/C3H10N2/c1-3(4)5-2/h3,5H,4H2,1-2H3/t3- /m0/s1/i/hD/f/i5D	InChI=1/C3H10N2/c1-3(4)5-2/h3,5H,4H2,1-2H3/t3- /m0/s1
Figure A2-1.	

The same stereochemical layer **/t3-m0/s1** present in M, MI, F, and FI layers is shown only one time – in the Main layer M. The chemical formula in the layer F is same as in the layer M and therefore is omitted. Since isotopic atoms segment **/i** is empty in MI, **/i** precedes **/h** in MI to separate it from M. Since formula in F is not included, **/f** precedes **/i** in FI.

Contributions of a non-isotopic component to segments **/t** and **/b** are not included in the isotopic layer because they are exactly same as in the non-isotopic layer. Contribution of such a component to the isotopic **/m** is a period even though in the non-isotopic layer it may be 0 or 1.

b. Abbreviations

In case of similar or identical components of a multicomponent compound the segments of a layer related to different components may be identical. In such cases the segment is not repeated in the identifier; instead it is preceded by a multiplier in form NUMBER in a chemical formula (for example, 2H₂O for two molecules H₂O) and NUMBER* in the rest of the identifier (for example **/h2*1H2**, where **/h1H2** is a hydrogen layer for H₂O, **/h2*1H2** is a hydrogen layer for 2H₂O).

In some cases a layer can appear at more than one place in the InChI output. For example, stereochemical layer in the Main and Fixed-H layers may be identical. When the contents of a layer for a component have appeared in an earlier layer, an abbreviation is used instead of the second instance. All possible abbreviations are given in this Appendix.

Different letters are used to refer to different locations of the first instance of the same layer information:

m – item in the first section of the Identifier, but not in the isotopic segment

M – item in the isotopic part of the first section

n – item in the fixed-H section, but not in the isotopic segment

N – item in the isotopic part of fixed-H section

i – prefix to **m**, **M**, **n**, or **N** – indicates that sp³-stereo has been inverted.

Repetitions of these abbreviations further abbreviated with multipliers, for example “m;m;m” is replaced with “3m”,

Abbreviations used in the Identifier

Abbreviated item	Is identical to	Abbreviation
	stereo:dbond and stereo:sp3	
Isotopic:stereo	stereo	m*
fixed-H:stereo	stereo	m
fixed-H:isotopic:stereo	stereo	m
fixed-H:isotopic:stereo	isotopic:stereo	M
fixed-H:isotopic:stereo	fixed-H:stereo	n*
	isotopic:atoms	
fixed-H:isotopic:atoms	isotopic:atoms	m
	charge	
fixed-H:charge	charge	m

*the isotopic stereo is omitted if it is exactly same as non-isotopic stereo for all components.

Abbreviations used in the auxiliary information section

In the Fixed-H section of the Auxiliary Information the original_atom_numbers for the components are in the same order as in the Main section of the Identifier even if a transposition (**/o**) is present. Other Fixed-H items are subject to the transposition.

Word “orig_at_nums” is used instead of “original_atom_numbers”.

Prefix “Aux” distinguishes items that belong to AuxInfo from those belonging to the Identifier.

Inv(...) means formal replacing sp³ parity “+” with “-“ and vice versa




Abbreviated item	Is identical to	Abbreviation
Aux:original_atom_numbers		
Aux:isotopic:orig_at_nums	Aux:orig_at_nums	m
Aux:fixed-H:orig_at_nums	Aux:orig_at_nums	m
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:orig_at_nums	Aux:orig_at_nums	m
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:orig_at_nums	Aux:fixed-H:orig_at_nums	n
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:orig_at_nums	Aux:isotopic:orig_at_nums	M
Aux:atom_equivalence or Aux:group_equivalence		
Aux:isotopic:atom_equivalence or	Aux:atom_equivalence or	m
Aux:isotopic:group_equivalence	Aux:group_equivalence	
Aux:fixed-H:atom_equivalence or	Aux:atom_equivalence or	m
Aux:fixed-H:group_equivalence	Aux:group_equivalence	
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:atom_equivalence or	Aux:atom_equivalence or	m
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:group_equivalence	Aux:group_equivalence	
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:atom_equivalence or	Aux:fixed-H:atom_equivalence or	n
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:group_equivalence	Aux:fixed-H:group_equivalence	
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:atom_equivalence or	Aux:isotopic:atom_equivalence or	M
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:group_equivalence	Aux:isotopic:group_equivalence	
Aux:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3*		
Aux:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Inv.(stereo:sp3)	im
Aux:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Aux:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	m
Aux:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Inv.(stereo:sp3)	im
Aux:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Inv.(isotopic:stereo:sp3)	iM
Aux:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Aux:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	m
Aux:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Inv.(stereo:sp3)	im
Aux:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Inv.(fixed-H:stereo:sp3)	in
Aux:isotopic:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Aux:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	m
Aux:isotopic:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Aux:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	n
Aux:isotopic:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Aux:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	M
Aux:isotopic:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Inv.(stereo:sp3)	im
Aux:isotopic:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Inv.(isotopic:stereo:sp3)	iM
Aux:isotopic:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Inv.(fixed-H:stereo:sp3)	in
Aux:isotopic:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:sp3	Inv.(fixed-H:isotopic:stereo:sp3)	iN
Aux:abs_stereo_inverted:original_atom_numbers		
Aux:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:orig_at_nums	m
Aux:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:orig_at_nums	m
Aux:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:isotopic:atom.orig	M
Aux:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	im
Aux:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:orig_at_nums	m
Aux:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:fixed-H:orig_at_nums	n
Aux:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	im
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:orig_at_nums	m
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:fixed-H:orig_at_nums	n
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:isotopic:atom.orig	M
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:atom.orig	N
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	im
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:fixed-H:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	in
Aux:fixed-H:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	Aux:isotopic:abs_stereo_inverted:orig_at_nums	iM

Notes:

*Stereo-Inv. identical to non-inverted or in case of relative or racemic is omitted

The serialization algorithm outputs the Identifier in such a way that if a section is not empty then its starting combination is always present. This makes parentheses unnecessary in the output therefore they are not present in the Identifier.

As an example consider the identifier of a structure on Fig. A3-2 that includes Fixed-H layer.

Input structure	Canonical numbering (mobile H)	Canonical numbering (fixed H)
		
<chem>InChI=1/C2H6S2/c3-1-2-4/h3-4H,1-2H2/i/hD/f/i3D</chem>		
Figure A3-2		

The deuterium atom in the Main isotopic layer is represented as

/i/hD

which means it is considered exchangeable; therefore its position in the Main layer is not defined. Even though the string that should immediately follow */i* (isotopic:atoms, see Fig. 2) is not present, the “*/i*” itself is present in the Identifier to signify the isotopic layer.

In the Fixed-H layer

/f/i3D

its non-isotopic part that immediately follows */f* is not present. However, “*/f*” is present to signify the fixed-H layer.

An algorithm to parse the Identifier may be described in the following way:

- 1) Find the first slash. The slash is preceded by the version and followed by a string (call it S) that contains all other layers of the identifier.

- 2) Search for “/r” in S. If “/r” is found then copy preceding “/r” substring to P[1] and the following “/r” string to P[2] else copy S to P[1] (P[1] represents the whole identifier or an identifier of a disconnected structure; P[2] if not empty represents an identifier of a “reconnected” structure”.)
- 3) Search for “/f” in each non-empty P[i]. If “/f” was found then copy the preceding string to Q[i][1] and the following string to Q[i][2] else copy P[i] to Q[i][1] (Q[i][1] represents the Main layer; Q[i][2] represents fixed-H layer)
- 4) Search for “/i” in each non-empty Q[i][j]. If “/i” was found then copy the preceding string into R[i][j][1] and the following string into R[i][j][2] else copy Q[i][j] to R[i][j][1] (R[i][j][1] represents the non-isotopic part of the layer; R[i][j][2] represents the isotopic layer)

At the end, non-empty strings R[i][j][k] (i, j, k = 1 or 2) contain:

i = 1: The identifier or the identifier of a disconnected structure

i = 2: The identifier of the “reconnected” structure

j = 1: The main layer

j = 2: The fixed-H layer

k = 1: The non-isotopic part

k = 2: The isotopic part of the layer

In case of a multicomponent compound the parts of the identifier related to components are separated by semicolons “;” except for the chemical formula which is dot-disconnected. The order of the components within the segments of the Main layer is same; the fixed-H layer may have a different order of the components. In this case a transposition segment (/o) is present. For example, transposition (1,2,3) means that component #1 in the Main layer is component #2 in Fixed-H layer, component #2 in the Main layer is component #3 in Fixed-H layer and component #3 in the Main layer is component #1 in the Fixed-H layer. A simple example of a compound that exhibits a transposition is on Fig. A3-3 later.

To extract identifiers for individual components

- parse the identifier and obtain array of strings R as explained above
- split each of those strings into segments using “/?” as separators and identify the separators (see Fig. 2)

- split each segment by locating dots or semicolons into parts related to individual components and expanded them in case of multipliers and/or abbreviations described in Appendix 2;
- transpose components in fixed-H part according to transposition (/o) if it is present
- pick the first entries (corresponding to the first component) and merge them together using previously found “/?” separators; add “version/” to the beginning of the string. The string is an identifier for the first component
- repeat for all other components

It should be noted that the number of components in the fixed-H layer may be greater than the number of components in the Main layer. The difference is the number of free protons (H⁺) in the input structure.




Input structure	Canonical numbering (mobile H, isotopic)	Canonical numbering (fixed-H, isotopic)
		
(a)	(b)	(c)
<chem>InChI=1/2CH2O2/c2*2-1-3/h2*1H,(H,2,3)/i2+1;2-1/f/h2*2H/i3-1;2+1/o(1,2)</chem>		
Figure A3-3. Example of a component transposition		

Fig. A3-3 also shows use of multipliers (2 in the chemical formula and 2* in the rest of the identifier) that are employed to avoid repetitions of identical strings representing different components. The reason for the transposition is that in (b) the canonical numbering and the order are effectively determined by the isotopic composition (the mobile H is equally distributed between atoms O) while in (c) they are determined by the location of the fixed mobile H.

Appendix 4. Comparing InChI Representations For Finding Identical Compounds

If two InChIs are the same, then it is safe to assume that the compounds (structures) that they represent are the same. For many structures and for data collections where structures are entered using a uniform procedure, this should be sufficient for identification. However, the layered structure of InChI permits the representation of some compounds at different levels of detail or completeness. If, for example, one InChI is completely contained in another, then the second may be viewed as a more detailed representation of the first (for example, *Z*-but-2-ene may be viewed as a more detailed representation than but-2-ene). Or, for example, if one set of InChIs was derived from a collection with no stereo information and another contains complete stereo information, comparisons should be made with stereo information removed. Of course, manual confirmation may be necessary using chemical names if stereo distinctions are important.

Comparing InChI strings without regard to certain layers is, in effect, equivalent to removing the ignored layers and all information that logically follows it. This often amounts simply to truncating the InChI. If a shorter InChI matches the corresponding characters in a longer InChI, the longer is a more specific representation of the substance in the shorter. In other cases, this requires excising layers.

a. Stereochemistry

Perhaps the most common problem in identifying two matching compounds is dealing with the absence of complete stereochemical information in one of them. Structure records in many large data collections may contain little or no such information. To find matching records, all stereo layers must be removed from the InChI.

Alternatively, if the structures of interest were derived from 2-D drawings, they may contain *Z/E* (sp^2) stereo information, but no tetrahedral (sp^3) stereo information. In this case, only the tetrahedral sublayer of the stereo layer need to be removed.

b. Mobile H-Atoms (Tautomers)

If representations of tautomers are to be compared to InChI representations of the same substances but with fixed (immobilized) H-atoms, the fixed H layer should be removed. For InChI, fixing these H-atoms is a refinement of a structure, it forms an added layer that may be removed without affecting the preceding tautomer representation. To ignore all forms of H-migration, including those not defined in InChI rules - keto-enol tautomerism and 1,5-tautomerism, for instance* - one may compare just the formula and first connectivity sublayer (no H-atoms). Any matches found mean that the original structures have identical skeletons and atomic composition.

c. Isotopes

To ignore isotopic substitution one simply needs to exclude the isotopic layer.

d. Charges and Protons

The charge and proton layer are independent of all others and may be simply removed to eliminate dependence on charge or degree of protonation or deprotonation. Therefore, in a comparison to find identical compounds, do not consider these layers in a comparison unless you wish to distinguish different charge and protonation states.

e. Comparison of Connectivity Only

By using only the chemical formula and connection sublayers, it is possible to identify matching basic structures without regard to precise hydrogen location, stereochemistry and isotopic substitution. This provides a quick way to find matching compounds that may differ due to forms of hydrogen transfer not accounted for by InChI (keto-enol tautomerism, for instance.)

* In InChI software v. 1.03 (2010) an experimental support for these two kinds of tautomerism was introduced.

Appendix 5. Structure Representation Ambiguities

While a structural drawing is the generally accepted means of representing chemical identity, this method can be imprecise or ambiguous for a number of reasons. InChI can expose, but not resolve these inherent problems. When possible, it identifies them by issuing warnings and perhaps isolating them in specific layers. In some cases these problems arise from uncertainties on the part of the chemist, in other cases the problem is caused by the lack of accepted standards for representation. The individual who draws the structure may not even be aware of these uncertainties. Some of the more commonly encountered sources of such ambiguity are discussed in this section.

a. Mobile Hydrogen

Since positions or even the number of certain hydrogen atoms (or protons) in a compound are may be fixed or not known due to ease of migration, a single substance ‘in a bottle’ may not be readily represented as a single discrete chemical entity. On the other hand, in some circumstances substances are best represented where all ‘mobile’ H-atom locations are fixed, as, for instance, is often the case in the gas phase. To accommodate both forms of representation, InChI adds a separate ‘layer’ to fix specific locations of the mobile-H atoms defined in a prior layer. Stripped of this added layer, the InChI reverts to the mobile H-atom representation. Also, the specific degree of protonation may also be specified if desired.

Contributing to the problem is that the possible locations of all mobile H-atoms may not be reliably known and may depend on the chemical environment (solvent, pH, and temperature, for instance).

Fortunately, a large fraction of the most common H-migration possibilities may be expressed by a few rules, which are implemented in InChI. The case of guanine is illustrated below.




Figure A5-1. Tautomeric structures of guanine

Another concern is the lack of a formal means of representing the types or even the presence of mobile hydrogen in a drawn structure. For InChI generation, the user must specify whether a fixed or mobile hydrogen representation is to be used. If no mobile hydrogen atoms are found to be present, this specification is simply ignored.

b. Stereochemistry

Two varieties of stereochemistry are represented by InChI, double-bond (sp^2) and tetrahedral (sp^3). The former information may be extracted from atom coordinates given in conventional drawings. Uncertainties may arise, however, when a formal double bond is actually rotatable (facile rotation about the formal double bond), in which case *Z/E* stereoisomers are not distinguishable. Four examples of such ambiguity are listed below:

1			
2		3	
4			
Figure A5-2. Examples of Rotatable Double Bonds			

Among mobile hydrogen isomers, a bond may be formally rotatable when an H-atom is at one location, and not rotatable when in another (Example 4). In such cases, the bond is presumed

by InChI to be rotatable. To further diminish possible ambiguities, *Z/E* stereochemistry is ignored when found in rings containing seven or fewer atoms. This, for example, eliminates that need for a stereolayer for benzene, which can formally exist in highly strained *Z*-forms.

While some drawing programs may allow users to express the lack of *Z/E* stereoisomerism in the examples above, unfortunately, users will often not use them.

If an input double bond suggests *Z/E* stereochemistry, but the InChI analysis indicates that it may also be represented as a single bond, a warning will be issued and the structure will ignore that *Z/E* stereochemistry [*the warning is not implemented yet*].

Regarding the representation of sp^3 stereochemistry, perhaps the most common problem is that the requisite stereo-information is partially or completely absent. Another problem is that errors are commonly made in complex drawings with multiple stereocenters. These difficulties, in fact, provided the principal initial motivation for creating a layered InChI, where these problems are held in a single layer that may be ignored if desired. It also allows structure collections without stereo descriptors to employ identifiers consistent with structure representations that have stereo-labeling. If structure representations are accurate and complete, full sp^3 layers will be the same for the same compound. In other cases, the sp^3 layer may be ignored or processed further to confirm identity (by inspection of chemical name or use of third-party structure processing software).

c. Organometallic Compounds and Coordination Bonds

No widely accepted means of representing organometallic substances exists. Ferrocene, for instance, may be drawn with the central iron atom connected to each of the two attached rings, to each of the atoms in the rings, to each of the bonds in the rings or not connected at all. The approach taken by InChI is to logically dissociate all atoms capable of forming coordination bonds (metals) and represent the structure as the individual, interconnected components along with the separated, unconnected metal atoms. For a large majority of organometallic compounds, this provides a unique InChI. If a bonded organometallic structure representation is desired, however, it may be specified by adding another series of layers to the InChI.

d. Multiple Components

Many substances are best represented as multiple, independent structures. InChI will represent such substances by simply appending the individual layers for each component in each layer and sorting these components using a set of fixed rules. InChI creation assumes that if multiple structures are present in a single input connection table, they are components of a single compound. In most cases, it is possible to extract the InChI of each component from a composite InChI by excising the corresponding part of each layer. The order of the components in the layers is strictly defined.